

Issue No. : Issue 1
Issue Date : September 2021
Project No. : 1825



MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & AUDIT REPORT (AUGUST 2021)

FOR

**PORT SHELTER PHASE 3, PO TOI
O SEWERAGE TREATMENT
PLANT**

Prepared by

Allied Environmental Consultants Limited

COMMERCIAL-IN-CONFIDENCE

Allied Environmental Consultants Limited

Member of AEC Group (HKEX Stock Code: 8320.HK)

27/F, Overseas Trust Bank Building, 160 Gloucester Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong

www.asecg.com T: +852 2815 7028 F: +852 2815 5399

沛然環境評估工程顧問有限公司

沛然環保集團成員 (港交所股份代號: 8320.HK)

香港灣仔告士打道 160 號海外信託銀行大廈 27 樓

Issue No. : Issue 1
Issue Date : September 2021
Project No. : 1825



MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & AUDIT REPORT (AUGUST 2021)

FOR

PORT SHELTER PHASE 3, PO TOI O SEWERAGE TREATMENT PLANT

Prepared by

Allied Environmental Consultants Limited

COMMERCIAL-IN-CONFIDENCE

Certified by:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Timmy Wong', written over a horizontal line.

Timmy WONG
Environmental Team Leader

Verified by:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'F.C. Tsang', written over a horizontal line.

F.C. TSANG
Independent Environmental Checker

Allied Environmental Consultants Limited

Member of AEC Group (HKEX Stock Code: 8320.HK)

27/F, Overseas Trust Bank Building, 160 Gloucester Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong

www.asecg.com T: +852 2815 7028 F: +852 2815 5399

沛然環境評估工程顧問有限公司

沛然環保集團成員 (港交所股份代號: 8320.HK)

香港灣仔告士打道 160 號海外信託銀行大廈 27 樓



ACUITY
SUSTAINABILITY
CONSULTING LIMITED



Website: www.acuityhk.com



Unit E, 12/F., Ford Glory Plaza,
Nos. 37-39 Wing Hong Street,
Cheung Sha Wan, Kowloon, HK



Tel. : (852) 2698 6833
Fax.: (852) 2698 9383

Our Ref: PL-202109014

Drainage Services Department
Special Duty Division
42/F, Revenue Tower, 5 Gloucester Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong.

Attention: Ms. Wing W.Y. Law

13 September 2021

Dear Wing,

Port Shelter Sewerage, Stage3 - Sewerage Works at Po Toi O
Monthly EM&A Report for August 2021

Referring to the captioned report (Issue No. 1) received on 7 September 2021, please be informed that we have no further comments on the report. We hereby verify the report as per Condition 3.4 of the Environmental Permit (No. EP 516/2016).

Yours faithfully,




F.C. Tsang
Independent Environmental Checker

cc. ETL – Timmy WONG

Document Verification



| | | |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Project Title | Port Shelter Phase 3, Po Toi O Sewerage Treatment Plant | Project No. 1825 |
| Document Title | Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (August 2021) | |

| Issue No. | Issue Date | Description | Prepared by | Checked by | Approved by |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|---|---|---|
| 1 | September 2021 | 1st Submission | Timmy Wong  | Joanne Ng  | Grace Kwok  |

Allied Environmental Consultants Limited

Member of AEC Group (HKEX Stock Code: 8320.HK)

27/F, Overseas Trust Bank Building, 160 Gloucester Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong

www.aecg.com T: +852 2815 7028 F: +852 2815 5399

沛然環境評估工程顧問有限公司

沛然環保集團成員 (港交所股份代號: 8320.HK)

香港灣仔告士打道 160 號海外信託銀行大廈 27 樓

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| 1. Executive Summary..... | 1 |
| 1.2. Key Construction Works During the Reporting Period | 1 |
| 1.3. Summary of Exceedances, Investigation and Follow-up | 1 |
| 1.4. Complaint Handling, Prosecution and Public Engagement | 1 |
| 1.5. Reporting Change of EM&A Programme..... | 2 |
| 1.6. Future Key Issues | 2 |
| 2. Introduction | 3 |
| 2.2. Project Background..... | 3 |
| 2.3. Scope of Report | 4 |
| 2.4. Project Organisation | 4 |
| 2.5. Construction Programme and Activities..... | 5 |
| 3. Air Quality | 6 |
| 3.1. Monitoring Requirements | 6 |
| 3.2. Monitoring Equipment | 6 |
| 3.3. Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration | 8 |
| 3.4. Monitoring Locations..... | 8 |
| 3.5. Monitoring Methodology | 9 |
| 3.6. Monitoring Results and Observations | 12 |
| 3.7. Other Influencing Factors of the Monitoring Results | 13 |
| 4. Noise | 14 |
| 4.1. Monitoring Requirements | 14 |
| 4.2. Monitoring Equipment | 14 |
| 4.3. Monitoring Locations..... | 15 |
| 4.4. Monitoring Parameters and Frequency | 16 |
| 4.5. Monitoring Methodology | 16 |
| 4.6. Monitoring Results and Observations | 17 |

| | |
|---|----|
| 4.7. Other Influencing Factors of the Monitoring Results | 18 |
| 5. Water Quality..... | 19 |
| 5.1. Monitoring Requirements | 19 |
| 5.2. Monitoring Parameters and Frequency | 20 |
| 5.3. Monitoring Locations..... | 20 |
| 5.4. Results and Observations | 21 |
| 6. Environmental Site Inspection and Audit | 22 |
| 6.1. Site Inspection | 22 |
| 6.2. Waste Management | 27 |
| 6.3. Status of Environmental Licenses, Notification and Permits..... | 28 |
| 6.4. Implementation Status on Environmental Protection Requirements..... | 28 |
| 6.5. Summary of Complaints, Notification of Summons, Successful Prosecutions and Public Engagement Activities..... | 28 |
| 7. Future Key Issues | 29 |
| 7.1. Construction Programme for the Upcoming Reporting Month | 29 |
| 7.2. Reinstatement Works Key Issues for the Upcoming Reporting Month | 29 |
| 7.3. Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month | 29 |
| 8. Conclusion..... | 30 |
| 8.1. General | 30 |
| 8.2. Environmental Impact Monitoring | 30 |
| 8.3. Environmental Site Inspections | 30 |
| 8.4. Complaint Log | 30 |
| 8.5. Reporting Changes..... | 30 |
| 8.6. Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions | 30 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|-----------|--|----|
| Table 2-1 | Contact Information of Key Personnel..... | 4 |
| Table 3-1 | Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP | 6 |
| Table 3-2 | Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP | 6 |
| Table 3-3 | Equipment Used for Air Quality Monitoring..... | 7 |
| Table 3-4 | Monitoring Parameters for Air Quality Monitoring..... | 8 |
| Table 3-5 | Location of Air Quality Monitoring Stations | 9 |
| Table 3-6 | 1-hour Air Quality Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period* | 13 |
| Table 3-7 | 24-hour Air Quality Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period* | 13 |
| Table 4-1 | Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise | 14 |
| Table 4-2 | Noise Monitoring Equipment..... | 15 |
| Table 4-3 | Description of Proposed Noise Monitoring Locations..... | 15 |
| Table 4-4 | Parameters for Noise Impact Monitoring | 16 |
| Table 4-5 | Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period | 17 |
| Table 5-1 | Parameters of Water Quality Monitoring | 20 |
| Table 5-2 | Summary of Water Quality Impact Monitoring Stations | 21 |
| Table 6-1 | Observations and Recommendations in the Reporting Month..... | 22 |
| Table 6-2 | Summary of Waste Flow Table | 27 |
| Table 6-3 | Status of Environmental License, Notification and Permit | 28 |

List of Figures

| | |
|------------|--|
| Figure 2-1 | Layout Plan of the Captioned Project |
| Figure 3-1 | Proposed Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Stations Locations |
| Figure 5-1 | Locations of Water Quality Impact Monitoring Stations |

List of Appendices

| | |
|--------------|--|
| Appendix 2-1 | Project Organization Chart |
| Appendix 2-2 | Construction Works Programme |
| Appendix 3-1 | Meteorological Data |
| Appendix 3-2 | Air Quality Monitoring Equipment Calibration Certificates |
| Appendix 3-3 | High-Volume Sampler Calibration Calculation Spreadsheet |
| Appendix 3-4 | Methodology for Correlation Calculation between Potable Laser Dust Meter and High-Volume Sampler |
| Appendix 3-5 | Correlation Calculation between Potable Laser Dust Meter and High-Volume Sampler |
| Appendix 3-6 | Air Quality and Noise Impact Monitoring Schedule |
| Appendix 3-7 | 1 hr and 24 hrs TSP Monitoring Result |
| Appendix 3-8 | Event and Action Plan for Air Quality Impact Monitoring |
| Appendix 4-1 | Noise Monitoring Equipment Calibration Certificates |
| Appendix 4-2 | Noise Impact Monitoring Results |
| Appendix 4-3 | Event and Action Plan for Noise Impact Monitoring |
| Appendix 6-2 | Monthly Summary of Waste Flow |
| Appendix 6-3 | Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures |
| Appendix 6-4 | Recommended Mitigation Measures and Proactive Environmental Protection Proforma |
| Appendix 6-5 | Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons |

1. Executive Summary

- 1.1.1. The proposed sewerage works in Po Toi O (hereafter as “the Project”) is an environmental enhancement project that aims to improve environmental hygiene of the Po Toi O area. The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report for the Project (Register No: AEIAR-206/2017) was approved on 27 January 2017. The Environmental Permit (EP) (Permit No.: EP-516/2016) was issued on 27 January 2017 and is the current permit for the Project.
- 1.1.2. Allied Environmental Consultants (AEC) has been appointed by Drainage Services Department (DSD) as the Environmental Team (ET) to undertake the EM&A programme during construction phase of the Project in accordance to the approved EM&A Manual for the Project.
- 1.1.3. This is the 6th monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit (EM&A) Report prepared by Allied Environmental Consultants Limited (AEC) for the Project. This report summarized the monitoring results and audits findings of the EM&A programme under the EP and the EM&A Manual of the Project during the reporting period of 1 August 2021 to 31 August 2021.

1.2. Key Construction Works During the Reporting Period

- 1.2.1. The main works undertaken during the reporting period are as follows:

- PTO-SW-03 (Open Trench, 25 nos., Length: 360m)
 - a) Construction of village sewer
- Po Toi O Sewerage Treatment Plant (PTOSTP)
 - a) Site preparation

1.3. Summary of Exceedances, Investigation and Follow-up

- 1.3.1. There was no action or limit level exceedance record of construction noise and air quality was recorded in the reporting month.

1.4. Complaint Handling, Prosecution and Public Engagement

- 1.4.1. No complaints, notification of summons and successful prosecution was received in the reporting period. No public engagement activity was conducted in the reporting month.
- 1.4.2. No air quality, noise and water complaints during 0700 – 1900 hours on normal weekdays was received in the reporting month.

1.5. Reporting Change of EM&A Programme

1.5.1. No reporting change of the EM&A programme in this reporting month.

1.6. Future Key Issues

1.6.1. The main works will be anticipated in the next reporting period are as follows:

- PTO-SW-03 (Open Trench, 25 nos., Length: 360m)

- a) Construction of village sewer

- Po Toi O Sewerage Treatment Plant (PTOSTP)

- a) Slope cutting

2. Introduction

2.1.1. Allied Environmental Consultants (AEC) has been appointed by Drainage Services Department (DSD) as the Environmental Team (ET) to undertake the EM&A programme during construction phase of the Project in accordance to the approved EM&A Manual for the proposed sewerage works in Po Toi O (hereafter as “The Project”), an environmental enhancement project that aims to improve environmental hygiene of the Po Toi O area.

2.2. Project Background

2.2.1. Po Toi O is located in the southern part of Sai Kung District, next to Clear Water Bay. There is a small settlement called Po Toi O village around the bay. There is currently no public sewerage system for the village. Sewage and wastewater generated by local residents and local restaurants are treated by septic tanks/ soakaway system (STS).

2.2.2. Sewage works at Po Toi O comprise sewage collection, treatment and disposal facilities at Po Toi O under Port Shelter Sewerage, Stage 3 – Sewerage Works at Po Toi O.

2.2.3. The Project in Po Toi O mainly comprises of the following items:

- i. Provision of village sewerage to the unsewered areas of Po Toi O. The works involve construction of about 800m of gravity sewers and 400m of rising mains;
- ii. Construction of a local sewage treatment plant (STP) with Average Dry Weather Flow (ADWF) of about 139m³/day; and
- iii. Construction of a submarine outfall of about 385m in length.

2.2.4. The Project consists of the following works, which are classified as Designated Projects under Part I, Schedule 2 of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO):

- i. Item Q.1 – A sewage treatment plant and portion of sewer alignments in a conservation area;
- ii. Item C.12 (a) (v) and (vii) – A dredging operation which is less than 500m from the nearest boundary of an existing fish culture zone and coastal protection area; and
- iii. Item F.6 – A submarine sewage outfall.

2.2.5. The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report “Port Shelter Sewerage, Stage 3 – Sewerage Works at Po Toi O” (Register No: AEIAR-206/2017) was approved on 27 January 2017. A Variation of an Environmental Permit (VEP) (Permit No.: EP-516/2016) was issued on 27 January 2017 and is the current permit for the Project.

2.2.6. The EM&A programme of the Project shall be implemented in accordance with the requirements and procedures set out in the EM&A Manual and the Environmental Permit (EP) of the Project (Permit No.: EP-516/2016).

2.2.7. The air quality and noise baseline monitoring works were conducted from 23 December 2020 to 5 January 2021 and the water quality baseline monitoring work was conducted from 17 December 2020 to 12 January 2021. A Baseline Monitoring Report had been submitted to EPD on 10 February 2021.

2.3. Scope of Report

2.3.1. This is the 6th EM&A Report prepared by AEC for the Port Shelter Sewerage, Stage 3 – Sewerage Works at Po Toi O. This report summarized the monitoring results and audits findings of the EM&A programme under the EP of the Project and in accordance with the EM&A Manual during the reporting period of 1 August 2021 to 31 August 2021.

2.4. Project Organisation

2.4.1. The project organization structure is shown in **Appendix 2-1**. The key personnel contact names and numbers are summarized in **Table 2-1**.

Table 2-1 Contact Information of Key Personnel

| Position | Party | Name | Telephone |
|---|--|------------------|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Drainage Services Department (DSD) | Ms. Wing Yin Law | 2594 7297 |
| Resident Engineer (RE) | Binnies Hong Kong Limited (Binnies) | Mr. Eugene Chan | 6392 3809 |
| Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) | Acuity Sustainability Consulting Limited (ASC) | Dr. F.C. Tsang | 2698 8060 |
| Environmental Team (ET) | Allied Environmental Consultants Limited (AEC) | Mr. Timmy Wong | 3915 7186 |
| Environmental Officer (EO) | China Geo-engineering Corporation (CGC) | Mr. Jasper Tang | 6997 5530 |

2.5. Construction Programme and Activities

2.5.1. The main works will be anticipated in the reporting period are as follows:

- PTO-SW-03 (Open Trench, 25 nos., Length: 360m)

a) Construction of village sewer

- Po Toi O Sewerage Treatment Plant (PTOSTP)

a) As advised by the contractor, no construction works were undertaken in the STP area during the reporting period.

2.5.2. The Construction Programme is shown in **Appendix 2-2**. The general layout plan of the Project is shown in **Figure 2-1**.

3. Air Quality

3.1. Monitoring Requirements

- 3.1.1. In accordance with the EM&A Manual, impact air quality monitoring shall be carried out throughout the construction period at all approved air quality monitoring locations (AMSs). 24-hours total suspended particles (TSP) monitoring shall be conducted at least once every 6 days. Meanwhile, 1-hour TSP monitoring shall be conducted at least 3 times every 6 days when the highest dust impact takes place. The Action and Limit levels for 1-hour and 24-hours TSP level are provided in **Table 3-1 and Table 3-2**.

Table 3-1 Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP

| Parameter | Air Quality Monitoring Station (AMSs) | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| 1-hr TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | AMS1N | 319 | 500 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ |
| | AMS2N1 | 279 | |
| | AMS3N | 303 | |
| | AMS4N | 278 | |

Table 3-2 Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP

| Parameter | Air Quality Monitoring Station (AMSs) | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| 24-hr TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | AMS1N | 153 | 260 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ |
| | AMS2N1 | 179 | |
| | AMS3N | 158 | |
| | AMS4N | 144 | |

3.2. Monitoring Equipment

- 3.2.1. The 24-hour TSP air quality monitoring was performed using High Volume Air Samplers (HVS) at each of the designated monitoring stations. The HVS are calibrated by a HVS calibrator. Meanwhile 1-hour TSP air quality monitoring was performed using portable TSP monitors. The equipment used for air quality monitoring are given in **Table 3-3**.

Table 3-3 Equipment Used for Air Quality Monitoring.

| Air Quality Monitoring | Brand and Model of Equipment | Serial Number |
|------------------------|---|---------------|
| 24-hour TSP* | Tisch TE-5170 High Volume Sampler | 4350 |
| | | 4374 |
| | | 2089 |
| | | 3796 |
| | Tisch TE-5025A High Volume Sampler Calibrator | 2154 |
| 1-hour TSP | Sibata LD-5R Portable TSP Monitors | 620408 |
| | | 882146 |
| | | 882147 |
| | | 892186 |

Note:

* If 24-hour TSP monitoring is significantly affected by power supply inconsistency at Po Toi O village, 24-hour TSP monitoring will be conducted by direct reading dust meter instead. The proposal for the use of direct reading dust meters for 24-hour TSP monitoring was approved by IEC and ER of the Project.

3.2.2. Meteorological information (such as the humidity, rainfall, air pressure and temperature etc.) were collected from Hong Kong Observatory (HKO)'s Weather Stations.

3.2.3. According to the approved EM&A Manual, wind data monitoring equipment shall be provided and setup for logging wind speed and wind direction near the dust monitoring locations. The equipment installation location shall be proposed by the ET and agreed with the IEC. For installation and operation of wind data monitoring equipment, the following points shall be observed:

- a) The wind sensors should be installed 10 m above ground so that they are clear of obstructions or turbulence caused by buildings.
- b) The wind data should be captured by a data logger. The data shall be downloaded for analysis at least once a month.
- c) The wind data monitoring equipment should be re-calibrated at least once every six months.
- d) Wind direction should be divided into 16 sectors of 22.5 degrees each.

- 3.2.4. It is noted that after liaison with the Po Toi O resident's representative on 22 December 2020, the resident's representative has rejected the access to the space and power supply for ET to install the wind data monitoring stations. Therefore, ET had proposed the alternative method for wind data collection according to section 3.4.7 of EM&A Manual.
- 3.2.5. The alternative method for wind data collection was adopt the wind data information collected from the HKO's Waglan Island weather station as the representative wind data. Although there are other closer weather stations, Waglan Island Station was selected as it is the nearest weather station that measures wind data information mentioned above.
- 3.2.6. The meteorological data from HKO's Weather Station is given in **Appendix 3-1**.

3.3. Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

- 3.3.1. The parameters, duration and frequency for air quality impact monitoring is given in **Table 3-4**.

Table 3-4 Monitoring Parameters for Air Quality Monitoring

| Identification No. | Location | Parameters | Frequency |
|--------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| AMS1N* | Footpath above House No. 28 Po Toi O Chuen Road | 1-hr TSP 24-hr TSP | <u>1-hour TSP:</u> At least 3 times for 1- hour with every 6 days |
| AMS2N1* | Open space Approx. 15 m from Hung Shing Temple | | <u>24-hour TSP:</u> Once every 6 days |
| AMS3N* | Vacant land near Temporary Structure (House) Rocky Shore | | |
| AMS4N* | Resting shelter near Seacrest Villas | | |

Notes:

*- Due to a number of limitations identified at the air quality monitoring stations in the Approved EM&A Manual for the Project, the monitoring location AMS1 – AMS4 were replaced by alternative monitoring location AMS1N – AMS4N, which were approved by ER and IEC.

3.4. Monitoring Locations

- 3.4.1. Monitoring stations AMS1N, AMS2N1, AMS3N and AMS4N were set up in accordance to the requirements for placement of equipment, as set out in section 3.5.3 of the EM&A manual of the Project. The location of the new representative AMSs and air quality monitoring equipment used are given in **Table 3-5**. Locations of the alternative AMSs are given in **Figure 3-1**.

Table 3-5 Location of Air Quality Monitoring Stations

| Identification No. | Location | Type of Monitoring | Duration |
|--------------------|--|--------------------|---------------|
| AMS1N* | Footpath above House No. 28 Po Toi O Chuen Road | TSP | 1 hr & 24 hrs |
| AMS2N1* | Open space approximately 15 m from Hung Shing Temple | TSP | 1 hr & 24 hrs |
| AMS3N* | Vacant land near Temporary Structure (House) Rocky Shore | TSP | 1 hr & 24 hrs |
| AMS4N* | Resting shelter near Seacrest Villas | TSP | 1 hr & 24 hrs |

Notes:

*- Due to a number of limitations identified at the air quality monitoring stations in the Approved EM&A Manual for the Project, the monitoring location AMS1 – AMS4 were replaced by alternative monitoring location AMS1N – AMS4N, which were agreed by ER and IEC.

3.5. Monitoring Methodology

Monitoring Methodology for 24-hour TSP Monitoring

3.5.1. The HVS was installed in the vicinity of the air quality monitoring stations. The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVS:

- i. A horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the sampler against gusty wind was provided.
- ii. The distance between the HVS and any obstacles, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the HVS.
- iii. A minimum of 2 meters separation from walls, parapets and penthouse for rooftop sampler.
- iv. A minimum of 2 meters separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally.
- v. No furnace or incinerator flues nearby.
- vi. Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
- vii. Permission was obtained to set up the samplers and access to the monitoring stations.
- viii. A secured supply of electricity was obtained to operate the samplers.
- ix. The sampler was located more than 20 meters from any dripline.
- x. Any wire fence and gate, required to protect the sampler, did not obstruct the monitoring process.
- xi. Flow control accuracy was kept within $\pm 2.5\%$ deviation over 24-hour sampling period.

3.5.2. The following procedures to be followed for the preparation of filter papers of the HVS:

- i. Glass fibre filters, G810 were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected.
- ii. All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than ± 3 °C; the relative humidity (RH) was < 50% and not variable by more than ± 5 %. A convenient working RH was 40%.
- iii. All filter papers were prepared and analysed by a HOKLAS accredited laboratory and has comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes.

3.5.3. The following procedures are followed throughout air quality monitoring works:

- i. The power supply was checked to ensure the HVS works properly.
- ii. The filter holder and the area surrounding the filter were cleaned.
- iii. The filter holder was removed by loosening the four bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully.
- iv. The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
- v. The swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied was sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- vi. Then the shelter lid was closed and was secured with the aluminium strip.
- vii. The HVS was warmed-up for about 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
- viii. A new flow rate record sheet was set into the flow recorder.
- ix. On site temperature and atmospheric pressure readings were taken and the flow rate of the HVS was checked and adjusted at around 1.1 m³ /min, and complied with the range specified in the updated EM&A Manual (i.e., 0.6-1.7 m³ /min).
- x. The programmable digital timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hrs, and the starting time, weather condition and the filter number were recorded.
- xi. The initial elapsed time was recorded.
- xii. At the end of sampling, on site temperature and atmospheric pressure readings were taken and the final flow rate of the HVS was checked and recorded.
- xiii. The final elapsed time was recorded.
- xiv. The sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half-length so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact.

- xv. It was then placed in a clean plastic envelope and sealed.
- xvi. All monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet.

3.5.4. The following procedures are followed for the maintenance and calibration of HVS:

- i. The HVS and its accessories were maintained in good working condition, such as replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure a continuous power supply.
- ii. 5-point calibration of the HVS was conducted using TE-5025A Calibration Kit prior to the commencement of monitoring. Bi-monthly 5-point calibration of the HVS will be carried out during impact monitoring. The details for HVS calibration against the TE-5025A Calibration Kit is given in **Appendix 3-3**.

Monitoring Methodology for 24-hour TSP Monitoring by Direct Reading Dust Meters

- 3.5.5. Since power supply for HVS for 24-hour TSP monitoring at alternative monitoring locations (i.e., AMS1N to AMS4N) were rejected, the use of direct reading dust meters is adopted to measure both 1-hour and 24-hour average TSP levels for the reporting month.
- 3.5.6. In accordance to Condition 3.1 of the Project's EP and Section 3.3 of the Project's EM&A Manual, the proposal for alternative monitoring equipment (i.e., direct reading dust meter) for TSP monitoring was approved by IEC and ER.
- 3.5.7. The measuring procedures of the direct reading dust meters are given in **Section 3.5.10**.
- 3.5.8. 24 consecutive 1-hour TSP concentration measurement results is adopted for the evaluation of 24-hour TSP concentration. Results are manually logged daily, during daily maintenance of the dust meter. Calculation of the value of 24-hour TSP concentration is given by the average of 24 calculated 1-hour TSP concentration, where the calculated 1-hr TSP concentration is given by the product of the direct reading and the K-factor based on the correlation results between the direct reading meter and HVS. Details for the correlation methodology and correlation record are given in **Appendix 3-4** and **Appendix 3-5**.
- 3.5.9. HVS for 24-hr TSP monitoring will be adopted once secured supply of electricity becomes available for any agreed TSP monitoring locations.

Monitoring Methodology for 1-Hour TSP Monitoring

3.5.10. The measuring procedures of the direct reading dust meters were in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:

- i. Turn the power on.
- ii. Close the air collecting opening cover.
- iii. Push the "TIME SETTING" switch to [BG].
- iv. Push "START/STOP" switch to perform background measurement for 6 seconds.
- v. Turn the knob at SENSI ADJ position to insert the light scattering plate.
- vi. Leave the equipment for 1 minute upon "SPAN CHECK" is indicated in the display.
- vii. Push "START/STOP" switch to perform automatic sensitivity adjustment. This measurement takes 1 minute.
- viii. Pull out the knob and return it to MEASURE position.
- ix. Push the "TIME SETTING" switch the time set in the display to 3 hours.
- x. Lower down the air collection opening cover.
- xi. Push "START/STOP" switch to start measurement.

3.5.11. The following procedures are followed for the maintenance and calibration of direct reading dust meters:

- i. The 1-hour TSP meter was calibrated at 1-year intervals against with high volume sampler.
- ii. Calibration certificates of the Laser Dust Monitors are provided in **Appendix 3-2**. 1-hour validation checking of the TSP meter against HVS is carried out yearly at the air quality monitoring locations.

3.6. Monitoring Results and Observations

3.6.1. The schedule for environmental monitoring in the reporting period is provided in **Appendix 3-6**.

3.6.2. The air quality monitoring results for 1-hour and 24-hour air quality monitoring are summarised in **Table 3-6** and **Table 3-7**. Air quality monitoring data and graphical presentation of the data are provided in **Appendix 3-7**.

Table 3-6 1-hour Air Quality Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period*

| Parameter | Monitoring Station | Average ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Range ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1-hr TSP in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ | AMS1N | 46.7 | 19.8 – 99.3 |
| | AMS2N1 | 58.1 | 33.3 – 101.7 |
| | AMS3N | 63.4 | 36.0 – 111.1 |
| | AMS4N | 69.0 | 51.5 – 100.7 |

Table 3-7 24-hour Air Quality Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period*

| Parameter | Monitoring Station | Average ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Range ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 24-hr TSP in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ | AMS1N | 43.3 | 21.6 - 91.1 |
| | AMS2N1 | 50.2 | 29.2 - 90.8 |
| | AMS3N | 57.0 | 37.4 - 85.3 |
| | AMS4N | 62.2 | 50.1 - 73.9 |

3.6.3. No Action or Limit Level exceedances of air quality were recorded in the reporting month. No air quality complaints between 0700 – 1900 hours on normal weekdays (i.e., Mondays to Saturdays) were received in the reporting month.

3.6.4. The event and action plan for air quality monitoring are given in **Appendix 3-8**.

3.7. Other Influencing Factors of the Monitoring Results

3.7.1. Major emission sources during air quality monitoring in the reporting period were mainly vehicle emission from Po Toi O Chuen Road and nearby residents' activities.

3.7.2. The event and action plan for air quality monitoring are given in **Appendix 3-8**.

4. Noise

4.1. Monitoring Requirements

- 4.1.1. In accordance with the EM&A Manual, noise impact monitoring was conducted during daytime construction work on normal weekdays (0700-1900 hours between Monday to Saturday), 1 set of 30-min measurement shall be carried out at approved noise monitoring stations (NMSs) every week based on the measurement procedures under EPD's "Technical Memorandum for the Assessment of Noise from Places Other Than Domestic Premises, Public Places or Construction Sites". The Action and Limit levels for construction noise monitoring is provided in **Table 4-1**.

Table 4-1 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

| NMSs ID | Noise Sensitive Receivers | Description | Action Level | Limit Level |
|---------|---------------------------|---|---|-------------|
| NMS1N | PTO_N1 | Footpath Above House No. 28 Po Toi O Chuen Road | When one documented complaint is received from any one of the noise sensitive receivers | 75 dB(A)* |
| NMS2N1 | PTO_N2 | Open Space Approx. 15 m from Hung Shing Temple | | |
| NMS3N | PTO_N3 | Vacant Land Near Temporary Structure (House) Rocky Shore | | |
| NMS4N | SV_N1 | Resting Shelter Near Seacrest Villas | | |

Note: *75 dB(A) for residential premises.

4.2. Monitoring Equipment

- 4.2.1. Noise monitoring was completed using sound level meters at each NMSs. The sound level meters deployed comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications (IEC) 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications. Acoustic calibrator was deployed to calibrate the sound level meters at a given sound pressure level. The equipment used for noise impact monitoring is given in **Table 4-2**.

Table 4-2 Noise Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Brand and Model | Serial No. /Equipment ID |
|------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Integrated Sound Level Meter | Casella – CEL-63X | 1488269 |
| Integrated Sound Level Meter | Casella – CEL-63X | 1488295 |
| Integrated Sound Level Meter | Casella – CEL-63X | 0873573 |
| Acoustic Calibrator | Casella – CEL-120/1 | 2092809 |
| Acoustic Calibrator | Casella – CEL-120/1 | 2383852 |
| Acoustic Calibrator | Casella – CEL-120/1 | 5230758 |
| Anemometer | SENSOR AR 816 | 2136513 |

4.3. Monitoring Locations

- 4.3.1. Due to the limitation posed by the approved monitoring stations set out by the EM&A manual, alternative monitoring stations NMS1N, NMS2N1, NMS3N and NMS4N were proposed in accordance to Section 4.5.3 of the EM&A Manual of the Project, and approved from the ER and the IEC. The locations of the NMSs are given in **Figure 3-1**, and the details of the monitoring stations are illustrated in **Table 4-3**.

Table 4-3 Description of Proposed Noise Monitoring Locations

| NMSs ID | Location | Type of measurement | Type of Monitoring | Duration |
|---------|---|---------------------|--------------------|----------|
| NMS1N* | Footpath above House No. 28 Po Toi O Chuen Road | Free-field | Noise | 30 mins |
| NMS2N1* | Open space approximately 15 m from Hung Shing Temple | | | 30 mins |
| NMS3N* | Vacant land near Temporary Structure (House) Rocky Shore | | | 30 mins |
| NMS4N* | Resting shelter near Seacrest Villas | | | 30 mins |

Notes:

*For Free-field measurement, a correction of +3dB(A) should be made to the measured results.

* Due to the limitation posed by the approved monitoring stations set out by the EM&A manual, four alternative representative Noise Quality Monitoring Stations (NMSs) are proposed. The alternative monitoring Locations were approved by ER and IEC.

4.4. Monitoring Parameters and Frequency

4.4.1. The monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of impact noise monitoring are summarised in **Table 4-4**.

Table 4-4 Parameters for Noise Impact Monitoring

| Parameter and Duration | Frequency |
|---|------------------------|
| 30-mins measurement at each monitoring station between 0700 and 1900 on normal weekdays. L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} would be recorded. | At least once per week |

4.5. Monitoring Methodology

4.5.1. The measuring procedures of the sound level meter were in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:

- i. Free-field measurement was made for the noise monitoring stations.
- ii. The sound level meter was set on a tripod at a height of 1.2 m above the ground.
- iii. The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- iv. Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:
 - a. frequency weighting: A
 - b. Time weighting: Fast
 - c. Time measurement: L_{eq} (30-minutes) during non-restricted hours i.e., 07:00 – 1900 on normal weekdays; L_{eq} (5-minutes) during restricted hours i.e., 19:00 – 23:00 and 23:00 – 07:00 of normal weekdays, whole day of Sundays and Public Holidays
- v. Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using the acoustic calibrator at a specified sound pressure level at a specified frequency. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1 dB(A), the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- vi. During the monitoring period, the L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.

- vii. Noise measurement was paused during periods of high intrusive noise (e.g., dog barking, helicopter noise) if possible. Observations were recorded when intrusive noise was unavoidable.
 - viii. Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10m/s.
- 4.5.2. The following procedures are followed for the maintenance and calibration of sound level meters:
- i. The microphone head of the sound level meter was cleaned with soft cloth at regular intervals.
 - ii. The meter and calibrator were sent to the supplier or HOKLAS laboratory to check and calibrate at yearly intervals.
 - iii. Calibration certificates of the sound level meters and acoustic calibrators are provided in **Appendix 4-1**.

4.6. Monitoring Results and Observations

- 4.6.1. The schedule for environmental monitoring in the reporting period is provided in **Appendix 3-6**.
- 4.6.2. The monitoring results for construction noise are summarised in **Table 4-5**. The noise monitoring data graphical presentation of the data is provided in **Appendix 4-2**.

Table 4-5 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

| NMSs ID | Construction Noise Level, dB(A)*, Leq (30 min) | Baseline Level, dB(A) | Limit Level, dB(A) |
|---------|--|-----------------------|--------------------|
| NMS1N | 62.4 dB(A) | 62.7 dB(A) | 75 |
| NMS2N1 | 63.0 dB(A) | 61.8 dB(A) | 75 |
| NMS3N | 59.1 dB(A) | 64.6 dB(A) | 75 |
| NMS4N | 57.4 dB(A) | 58.1 dB(A) | 75 |

Note:

*- A correction of +3 dB(A) was made to the free field measurements. Leq (30min) was measured at 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays.

4.6.3. No Action or Limit Level exceedance of construction noise was recorded in the reporting month. No noise complaints from between 0700 – 1900 hours on normal weekdays was received in the reporting month.

4.6.4. The event and action plan are provided in **Appendix 4-3**.

4.7. Other Influencing Factors of the Monitoring Results

4.7.1. Major noise sources during noise monitoring in the reporting period were mainly road traffic noise.

5. Water Quality

5.1. Monitoring Requirements

- 5.1.1. With the recommendations of the Project's EIA report, water quality impact monitoring shall be carried out 3 days per week, at mid-flood and mid-ebb tides (within ± 1.75 hour of the predicted time required) at all the approved Water Quality Monitoring Stations (WQMSs) during whole cofferdam installation/extraction work and during dredging works. The interval between two sets of monitoring shall not be less than 36 hours.
- 5.1.2. Replicate in-situ measurements of Suspended Solids (SS) and in-situ water quality data (temperature, pH, turbidity, water depth, salinity, dissolved oxygen and percentage of saturation) shall be collected.
- 5.1.3. Other relevant data should also be recorded, including monitoring location/position, time, tidal stages, weather conditions and any special observation or works that may affect the monitoring results in the vicinity.
- 5.1.4. To ensure sufficient data for robust analysis, duplicate in-situ data shall be collected. In case the difference in the duplicate in-situ measurement results is larger than 25%, the third set of in-situ measurement shall be carried out for result confirmation purpose.
- 5.1.5. Water samples shall be extracted at 1m below surface, 1m above seabed and the mid-depth level at where the water depth is at least 6m. However, if the water depth is less than 3m, water samples shall only be collected at the mid-depth level. For stations with depth less than 6m, the mid-depth sample can be omitted.
- 5.1.6. In addition, duplicated water samples for suspended solid analysis shall be collected at all the above stations and delivered to the HOKLAS accredited laboratory for analysis. Results for suspended solids shall be received back from the laboratory within 24-hour of the receipt of the samples.
- 5.1.7. Water quality impact monitoring shall also be conducted at the same frequency as monitoring throughout the whole cofferdam installation/extraction work and during dredging work. In case of exceedance of Action/Limit Level recorded, the frequency of water quality monitoring shall be increased as per the Event and Action Plan.
- 5.1.8. The water quality impact monitoring schedule shall be issued to IEC at least one month prior to the commencement of Impact Monitoring.

5.2. Monitoring Parameters and Frequency

5.2.1. The monitoring parameters, monitoring periods and frequencies of the water quality monitoring are summarised in **Table 5-1**.

Table 5-1 Parameters of Water Quality Monitoring

| Parameters | Duration | Frequency |
|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Temperature (°C) | During Construction Phase: Throughout installation and Extraction of Cofferdam; and During Dredging | 3 days per week (The interval between two sets of monitoring shall not be less than 36 hours.) |
| pH (pH unit) | | |
| Turbidity (NTU) | | |
| Water Depth (m) | | |
| Salinity (ppt) | | |
| DO (mg/l and % of Saturation) | | |
| SS (mg/l) | | |

5.3. Monitoring Locations

5.3.1. According to section 5.2.6 of the EM&A manual of the project, 6 water quality monitoring stations (WMSs) are proposed at the Po Toi O FCZs, major amphioxus habitats and rocky shores where coral thrives. With reference to the tidal characteristics of Po Toi O Bay, 3 control stations are proposed where fresh marine water is not affected by the cofferdam installation/extraction works, and 2 impact stations are proposed near the cofferdam under different tidal periods. All water quality monitoring stations show as **Figure 5-1** and **Table 5-2**.

Table 5-2 Summary of Water Quality Impact Monitoring Stations

| Station | Monitoring Period | Description | Easting | Northing |
|---------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|
| *WMS1N | Mid-Ebb, Mid-Flood | Po Toi O Fish Culture Zone | 848416 | 845209 |
| *WMS2N | Mid-Ebb, Mid-Flood | Po Toi O Fish Culture Zone | 848505 | 815375 |
| WMS3 | Mid-Ebb, Mid-Flood | Rocky Shore with Corals | 848644 | 815391 |
| WMS4 | Mid-Ebb, Mid-Flood | Rocky Shore with Corals | 848774 | 815602 |
| WMS5 | Mid-Ebb, Mid-Flood | Rocky Shore with Corals | 848578 | 815591 |
| WMS6 | Mid-Ebb, Mid-Flood | Major Amphioxus Habitat | 848639 | 815523 |
| I1 | Mid-Flood | Impact monitoring Station | 848643 | 815692 |
| I2 | Mid-Ebb | Impact monitoring Station | 848722 | 815910 |
| C1 | Mid-Flood | Control station | 848904 | 816052 |
| C2 | Mid-Ebb | Control station | 848529 | 815373 |
| C3 | Mid-Ebb | Control station | 848243 | 815710 |
| WMS1 | Mid-Ebb, Mid-Flood | Po Toi O Fish Culture Zone | 848387 | 815201 |
| WMS2 | Mid-Ebb, Mid-Flood | Po Toi O Fish Culture Zone | 848479 | 815378 |

Notes:

*WMS1N, WMS2N are new proposed alternative monitoring location. As previous EIA proposed monitoring location WMS1, WMS2 are situated in fish barges within the Fish Culture Zone (FCZ), and accesses to WMS1 and WMS2 were subsequently denied by the tenants of the fish barges. The relocation of WMS1 and WMS2 were approved by IEC and the ER of the Project.

5.4. Results and Observations

- 5.4.1. According to submission of construction works schedule and location plan under the EP of Project, the proposed period of commencement construction work with cofferdam installation / extraction work and during dredging works is August 2022.
- 5.4.2. Marine construction was not commenced within the reporting month; hence no water quality monitoring was conducted.
- 5.4.1. Refer to Sections 5.2.10 and 5.2.11 of approved EM&A Manual, construction phase site inspection for water quality mitigation measures and check the contractor's work practice on water pollution prevention during construction phase has been conducted during weekly site audit.
- 5.4.2. During the weekly site audit of this reporting month, no non- conformance water pollution was identified / observed in the commencement works area.

6. Environmental Site Inspection and Audit

6.1. Site Inspection

- 6.1.1. Site inspections were carried out by ET on a weekly basis to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures for the Project. Key observations were recorded in the site inspection checklist and passed to the Contractor together with the appropriate recommended mitigation measures where necessary.
- 6.1.2. In the reporting period, 4 site inspections were carried out on 5, 12, 19 and 26 August 2021. A joint site inspection with IEC was carried out on 19 August 2021. No non-compliance was recorded during the site inspection. Details of observations recorded during the site inspections are presented in **Table 6-1**.

Table 6-1 Observations and Recommendations in the Reporting Month

| Parameters | Date | Observations and Recommendations | Action taken by contractor |
|---------------|---------------|---|--|
| Water Quality | 5 August 2021 | <p><u>Follow-up Observation:</u></p> <p>It is observed during the site inspection that the surface drainage system near the STP area is temporarily blocked off with sand bags, and temporary stormwater holding tank was provided to prevent the runoff of construction effluent during rainfall.</p> <p><u>Recommendations</u></p> <p>The contractor is reminded to continue maintain the mitigation measures, and reminded to review the temporary drainage arrangement for the STP area in accordance to ProPECC PN 1/94.</p> | <p>It is observed during 12 August 2021 site inspection, the contractor was placed sand bags for Intercept the water from u-channel at the foot of the slope where the STP will be built and temporary stormwater holding tank was provided.</p> <p>(Item Closed)</p> |

| Parameters | Date | Observations and Recommendations | Action taken by contractor |
|-------------|----------------|---|--|
| | 5 August 2021 | <u>Reminder</u> The contractor has been reminded to review the temporary drainage system regularly. | It is observed during 12 August 2021 site inspection, the contractor was reviewed the temporary drainage system regularly. (Item Closed) |
| | 19 August 2021 | <u>Reminder</u> The contractor is reminded to review and update the temporary drainage system. | It is observed during 26 August 2021 site inspection, the contractor was generally maintaining the temporary drainage system compliance with ProPECC PN 1/94. (Item Closed) |
| Air Quality | 5 August 2021 | <u>Follow- up Observation:</u> Adequate covering for the open stockpiles at the STP works area is observed during the site inspection. <u>Recommendations</u> The contractor is reminded to continue provide adequate covering with impermeable materials (i.e. tarpaulin) to prevent the generation of dust, and construction runoff during rainfall. | It is observed during 12 August 2021 site inspection, the contractor was providing adequate covering with impermeable materials (i.e. tarpaulin) to prevent the generation of dust, and construction runoff during rainfall. (Item Closed) |

| Parameters | Date | Observations and Recommendations | Action taken by contractor |
|---------------------------|--|---|--|
| | 26 August 2021 | <u>Reminder</u> The contractor is reminded to maintain the materials storage, cover open stockpiles of construction materials (e.g. aggregates, sand and fill materials) with impermeable materials such as tarpaulin for the relevant construction works area. | The item will be reviewed in the upcoming site inspection in September 2021. |
| Noise | No adverse observation was identified in the reporting period. | | |
| Waste/Chemical Management | No adverse observation was identified in the reporting period. | | |
| Ecology | 5 August 2021 | <u>Follow- up Observation:</u> As advised by contractor during the weekly site inspection on 5 th August 2021, it is noted that since the slope is steep and no proper access is available, the orange meshes is not able to be installed on both sides of the slope for safety reason. <u>Recommendations</u> The contractor is reminded that the temporary bright colour fencing along the undisturbed region of shrubland and woodland will be maintained before the commencement of construction works in the STP works area. | During 12 August 2021 weekly site inspection, it is further advised by the contractor that the orange mesh would be installed by October 2021 before the commencement of construction works in the STP works area. The item will be reviewed before commencement of construction works in the STP works area. |
| Fisheries | No adverse observation was identified in the reporting period. | | |

| Parameters | Date | Observations and Recommendations | Action taken by contractor |
|--------------------|--|--|---|
| Built Heritage | 5 August 2021 | <u>Follow-up Reminder</u> It is noted that contractor was replaced the monitoring marker on the settlement monitoring marker. The contractor was reminded settled all the necessary mitigation measures before construction works commencement near the building heritages. | It is observed during 12 August 2021 the site inspection, the contractor was replaced the monitoring marker on the settlement monitoring marker. It is also observed during the site inspection, the contractor was implemented the necessary mitigation measures for Built Heritage. (Item Closed) |
| Landscape & Visual | No adverse observation was identified in the reporting period. | | |
| Miscellaneous | 12 August 2021 | <u>Reminder</u> The contractor is reminded to maintain good housekeeping practices for the works area. | It is observed during 19 August 2021 site inspection, the contractor was maintain good housekeeping practices for the works area. (Item Closed) |
| | 19 August 2021 | <u>Reminder</u> The contractor is reminded to review and update the construction programme for the sewer works and provide the implementation schedule of the | It is observed during 26 August 2021 site inspection, the contractor review and update the construction programme for the |

| Parameters | Date | Observations and Recommendations | Action taken by contractor |
|------------|------|---|--|
| | | mitigation measure for the relevant construction works. | sewer works and provide the implementation schedule of the mitigation measure for the relevant construction works. (Item Closed) |

6.2. Waste Management

- 6.2.1. As advised by the Contractor, 13.29 m³ of inert C&D material was generated in the reporting month. For C&D wastes, 0 m³ of general refuse was disposed of at NENT landfill, 0 kg waste were collected by recycling contractors, and 0 kg of chemical wastes was collected by licensed Contractors in the reporting period.
- 6.2.2. The actual amounts of different types of waste generated by the activities of the Project in the reporting period are shown in **Table 6-2**, the detailed monthly summary of waste flow is detailed in **Appendix 6-2**.

Table 6-2 Summary of Waste Flow Table

| Waste Type | Quantity | Disposal/ Reuse Locations |
|---|----------------------|--|
| Inert C&D Waste Disposed as Public Fill | 13.29 m ³ | Tseung Kwan O Area 137 Fill Bank (TKO137FB). |
| C&D Wastes Disposed as General Refuse | 0 m ³ | North East New Territories (NENT) |
| Recycle Materials | 0 kg | Recycling Facilities |
| General Refuse | 0 kg | North East New Territories (NENT) |
| Chemical Waste | 0 kg | Licensed Contractors |

- 6.2.3. During regular site auditing, the mitigation measures proposed in the Implementation Schedule of the Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS) in the approved EIA report of the Project has been effectively implemented in the commenced works area. No adverse waste impact was observed from the construction works in reporting month.

6.3. Status of Environmental Licenses, Notification and Permits

- 6.3.1. The environmental licenses and permits for the Project and valid in the reporting period are summarized in **Table 6-3**.

Table 6-3 Status of Environmental License, Notification and Permit

| License/ Notification/ Permit | Reference No. | Valid Period | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| | | From | To |
| Environmental Permit | EP-516/2016 | 27 January 2017 | End of Project |
| Construction Dust Notification Under APCO | 458613 | 3 August 2020 | N/A |
| Wastewater Discharge License | WT00038707-2021 | 4 August 2021 | 31 August 2026 |
| Chemical Waste Producer Registration | 5213-820-C3510- 18 | 23 September 2020 | N/A |
| Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste | WFG22785 | 17 August 2020 | N/A |

6.4. Implementation Status on Environmental Protection Requirements

- 6.4.1. The Implementation Schedule of the Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS) of the reporting period is summarized in **Appendix 6-3**. The implementation of the key mitigation measures during the reporting period is presented in **Appendix 6-4**.

6.5. Summary of Complaints, Notification of Summons, Successful Prosecutions and Public Engagement Activities

- 6.5.1. No complaints, notification of summons and successful prosecution was received in the reporting period. No public engagement activities were conducted in the reporting period.
- 6.5.2. Statistics on complaints, notifications of summons, successful prosecutions and public engagement activities are summarized in **Appendix 6-5**.

7. Future Key Issues

7.1. Construction Programme for the Upcoming Reporting Month

- PTO-SW-03 (Open Trench, 25 nos., Length: 360m)
 - a) Construction of village sewer
- Po Toi O Sewerage Treatment Plant (PTOSTP)
 - a) As advised by the contractor, no construction works were undertaken in the STP area during the reporting period.

7.2. Reinstatement Works Key Issues for the Upcoming Reporting Month

- 7.2.1. Potential environmental impacts due to the construction activities, including air quality, noise, water quality, waste, landscape and visual, will be monitored or reviewed. The ET will continue to implement the environmental monitoring & audit programme in accordance with the EM&A Manual and Environmental Permit requirement. The recommended environmental mitigation measures shall be implemented on site and regular inspections as required will be carried out to ensure that the environmental conditions are acceptable.
- 7.2.2. The anticipated impact of major work activities within the site and the recommended mitigation measures are shown in **Appendix 6-4**.

7.3. Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month

- 7.3.1. The tentative schedule for environmental monitoring in September 2021 is provided in **Appendix 3-6**.

8. Conclusion

8.1. General

- 8.1.1. This report summarized the monitoring results and audits findings of the EM&A programme under the EP of the Project and in accordance with the EM&A Manual during the reporting period of 1 August 2021 to 31 August 2021.

8.2. Environmental Impact Monitoring

- 8.2.1. With reference to the revision of the construction commencement date, and the construction programme provided by the contractor, no construction work was undertaken during the reporting period.

8.3. Environmental Site Inspections

- 8.3.1. For the environmental site inspections carried out in the reporting month. Recommendations on remedial actions were given to the contractors for the deficiencies identified during the site audits.

8.4. Complaint Log

- 8.4.1. There was no complaint received in relation to the environmental impact during the reporting period.

8.5. Reporting Changes


- 8.5.1. No report changes in this reporting period.


8.6. Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions


- 8.6.1. There was no notification of summons and successful prosecution was received in the reporting period

Figure 2-1

Layout Plan of the Captioned Project







© Copyright by Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited

NOTE:

1. FOR GENERAL NOTES, REFER TO 382770/B&V/GN/000.

LEGEND:

- EFFLUENT PIPELINE
- FINAL EFFLUENT PIPELINE BY TRENCHLESS METHOD
- WORKS LIMIT

| A | 05/20 | TENDER ADDENDUM NO.3 | | HN |
|----------|----------|----------------------|-------|---------|
| Revision | Date | Description | | Initial |
| | Designed | Checked | Drawn | Checked |
| Initial | WC | WL | SZ | CC |
| Date | 03/20 | 03/20 | 03/20 | 03/20 |

Approved

Contract no.
DC/2019/09

Contract title
PROVISION OF VILLAGE SEWERAGE
IN SAI KUNG

Drawing title
PO TOI O -
PROPOSED SUBMARINE OUTFALL
LAYOUT PLAN


Drawing no.
382770/B&V/PTOSTP/SO/001

Revision
A

Scale
A1 1 : 1000
A3 1 : 2000

香港特別行政區政府渠務署
THE GOVERNMENT OF THE
HONG KONG
SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION
DRAINAGE SERVICES DEPARTMENT

BLACK & VEATCH HONG KONG LIMITED
博威工程顧問有限公司



© Copyright by Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited

NOTES:

1. FOR OTHER NOTES AND LEGEND, REFER TO DRAWING NO. 382770/B&V/GN/000.
2. ALL LEVELS ARE IN METERS ABOVE PRINCIPAL DATUM (MPD).
3. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETERS UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.

LEGEND:

- PROPOSED 3m HEIGHT FENCE WALL
- PROPOSED TREE
- PROPOSED CUT SLOPE
- BOUNDARY FENCE (REFER TO ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS FOR THE TYPES AND DETAILS)
- WORKS LIMIT
- STAINLESS STEEL RAILINGS

| Revision | Date | Description | Initial |
|----------|----------|-------------|---------|
| Initial | Designed | Checked | Drawn |
| Initial | WC | WL | SZ |
| Date | 03/20 | 03/20 | 03/20 |
| Approved | | | |

Contract no. DC/2019/09

Contract title
PROVISION OF VILLAGE SEWERAGE
IN SAI KUNG

Drawing title
GENERAL ARRANGEMENT OF
PO TOI O SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT
- GENERAL LAYOUT

Drawing no. 382770/B&V/PTOSTP/GA/001


Scale A1 1 : 100
A3 1 : 200

香港特別行政區政府渠務署
THE GOVERNMENT OF THE
HONG KONG
SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION
DRAINAGE SERVICES DEPARTMENT

BLACK & VEATCH HONG KONG LIMITED
博威工程顧問有限公司

Figure 3-1

Proposed Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Stations Locations



NOTES :

- Proposed Work Boundary
- Proposed Sewer and Manhole
- Proposed Rising Main
- /// Proposed Sewer by Trenchless Method
- Proposed Sewage Treatment Plant
- Air/Noise Monitoring Stations Proposed in EM&A Manual
- Agreed Air/Noise Monitoring Stations

AMS1N/NMS1N

Consultant



Allied Environmental Consultants Limited
ACOUSTICIANS & ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS

Project No. : 1825

File Name :

Project :

Air Quality, Noise and Water Quality
Baseline Monitoring Report for Port
Shelter Phase 3- Po Toi O Sewerage
Treatment Plant

Drawing Title :

Location of Air Quality and Noise
Monitoring Station

Drawing No :
Figure 3-1

Revision :
1


Scale :
NTS

Date :
April 2021








DO NOT SCALE OFF DRAWING. THIS DRAWING IS NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNLESS EXPRESSLY STATED.
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AND REPRODUCTION IN ANY FORM MUST BE
APPROVED BY ALLIED ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS LIMITED.

Figure 5-1

Locations of Water Quality Impact Monitoring Stations



| Station | Monitoring Period | Description | Easting | Northing |
|---------|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|
| WMS1 | Mid-Ebb, Mid-Flood | Po Toi O Fish Culture Zone | 848387 | 815201 |
| WMS2 | | Po Toi O Fish Culture Zone | 848479 | 815378 |
| WMS3 | | Rocky Shore with Corals | 848644 | 815391 |
| WMS4 | | Rocky Shore with Corals | 848774 | 815602 |
| WMS5 | | Rocky Shore with Corals | 848578 | 815591 |
| WMS6 | | Major Amphioxus Habitat | 848639 | 815523 |
| I1 | Mid-Flood | Impact Monitoring Station | 848643 | 815692 |
| I2 | Mid-Ebb | Impact Monitoring Station | 848722 | 815810 |
| C1 | Mid-Flood | Control Station | 848904 | 816052 |
| C2 | Mid-Ebb | Control Station | 848529 | 815373 |
| C3 | Mid-Ebb | Control Station | 848243 | 815710 |
| WMS-1N | Mid-Ebb, | Po Toi O Fish Culture Zone | 848416 | 815209 |
| WMS-2N | Mid-Flood | | 848505 | 815375 |

-  Proposed Work Boundary
-  Proposed Sewer and Manhole
-  Proposed Rising Main
-  Proposed Sewer by Trenchless Method
-  Proposed Sewage Treatment Plant
-  Po Toi O Fish Culture Zone
-  Water Monitoring Point



Allied Environmental Consultants Limited
ACOUSTICIANS & ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS

Project No. : 1825

File Name :

Project :

Air Quality, Noise and Water Quality
Baseline Monitoring Report for Port
Shelter Phase 3- Po Toi O Sewerage
Treatment Plant

Drawing Title :

Location of Water Quality Monitoring Stations

Drawing No :

Figure 5-1

| |
|------------|
| Revision : |
|------------|

0

Scale :

NTS


Date :

April 2021

DO NOT SCALE OFF DRAWING. THIS DRAWING IS NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNLESS EXPRESSLY STATED.
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AND REPRODUCTION IN ANY FORM MUST BE
APPROVED BY ALLIED ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS LIMITED.







Appendix 2-1

Project Organization Chart



Appendix 2-2

Construction Works Programme

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|----------|---|-----------|---|------------------------------|---|----------|---|-----------|---|
| Project:DC/2019/09 Date: June 2021 | Task | | Split | | Milestone | | Project Summary | | Split | | Milestone | |
| | Project Guide: Critical Task |  | Progress |  | Summary |  | Project Guide: Critical Task |  | Progress |  | Summary |  |

Appendix 3-1

Meteorological Data

Appendix 3-1 Daily Extract of Meteorological Observations, August 2021

| Day | Hong Kong Observatory | | | | | | | King's Park | | Waglan Island^ | |
|-----|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Air Temperature | | | Mean Dew Point (deg. C) | Mean Relative Humidity (%) | Mean Amount of Cloud (%) | Total Rainfall (mm) | Total Bright Sunshine (hours) | Prevailing Wind Direction (degrees) | Mean Wind Speed (km/h) |
| | | Absolute Daily Max (deg. C) | Mean (deg. C) | Absolute Daily Min (deg. C) | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 998.5 | 32.5 | 29.4 | 27.1 | 26.0 | 83 | 83 | 11.6 | 5.3 | 240 | 25.1 |
| 2 | 998.3 | 33.9 | 30.0 | 28.5 | 26.0 | 80 | 84 | Trace | 5.8 | 230 | 17.2 |
| 3 | 997.2 | 29.7 | 28.2 | 27.1 | 26.0 | 88 | 82 | 19.7 | 0.9 | 090 | 24.0 |
| 4 | 995.6 | 31.3 | 28.2 | 25.9 | 25.3 | 85 | 84 | 41.9 | 1.5 | 360 | 22.4 |
| 5 | 996.0 | 28.6 | 27.6 | 26.2 | 25.9 | 90 | 88 | 28.1 | 0.1 | 260 | 31.0 |
| 6 | 998.0 | 29.7 | 28.3 | 26.4 | 26.2 | 89 | 89 | 31.0 | 0.3 | 260 | 28.5 |
| 7 | 1001.3 | 30.9 | 28.8 | 27.6 | 25.9 | 85 | 86 | - | 3.2 | 250 | 23.7 |
| 8 | 1004.3 | 31.5 | 29.3 | 27.8 | 26.5 | 85 | 86 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 240 | 18.3 |
| 9 | 1005.4 | 31.3 | 29.1 | 27.2 | 26.2 | 85 | 88 | 36.3 | 1.7 | 220 | 22.0 |
| 10 | 1005.9 | 30.4 | 29.0 | 27.5 | 26.6 | 87 | 88 | 17.3 | 0.8 | 190 | 14.8 |
| 11 | 1008.3 | 32.1 | 29.5 | 27.1 | 26.4 | 84 | 87 | 3.0 | 5.6 | 210 | 15.0 |
| 12 | 1008.9 | 33.0 | 29.0 | 26.8 | 25.5 | 82 | 78 | 1.0 | 6.5 | 200 | 8.5 |
| 13 | 1006.2 | 30.7 | 28.6 | 26.6 | 25.3 | 83 | 80 | 5.4 | 6.4 | 210 | 11.9 |
| 14 | 1006.4 | 29.2 | 28.0 | 26.6 | 25.1 | 85 | 87 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 220 | 19.8 |
| 15 | 1010.2 | 30.0 | 27.3 | 25.7 | 25.0 | 87 | 83 | 5.7 | 1.2 | 200 | 7.8 |
| 16 | 1012.5 | 31.0 | 28.3 | 26.2 | 25.1 | 83 | 56 | 3.9 | 6.0 | 240 | 7.9 |

| Day | Hong Kong Observatory | | | | | | King's Park | | Waglan Island^ | | |
|------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Air Temperature | | | | Mean | | Total Rainfall (mm) | Total Bright Sunshine (hours) | Prevailing Wind Direction (degrees) | Mean Wind Speed (km/h) | |
| | Mean Pressur e (hPa) | Absolute Daily Max (deg. C) | Mean (deg. C) | Absolute Daily Min (deg. C) | Mean Dew Point (deg. C) | Mean Relative Humidity (%) | | | | | Amount of Cloud (%) |
| 17 | 1010.5 | 32.5 | 29.5 | 27.4 | 25.1 | 78 | 42 | - | 11.1 | 240 | 13.3 |
| 18 | 1008.2 | 32.3 | 29.5 | 28.1 | 24.9 | 77 | 80 | - | 7.0 | 250 | 13.1 |
| 19 | 1008.6 | 31.0 | 28.6 | 26.2 | 25.7 | 84 | 72 | 34.6 | 5.6 | 070 | 7.8 |
| 20 | 1009.5 | 32.5 | 29.5 | 27.3 | 24.9 | 77 | 47 | Trace | 11.1 | 220 | 11.0 |
| 21 | 1008.6 | 32.5 | 29.8 | 28.0 | 25.0 | 76 | 68 | - | 11.2 | 230 | 18.7 |
| 22 | 1007.4 | 33.1 | 30.1 | 28.3 | 24.8 | 74 | 63 | - | 10.8 | 230 | 21.3 |
| 23 | 1007.2 | 33.2 | 30.2 | 28.4 | 25.1 | 75 | 61 | Trace | 9.9 | 230 | 17.6 |
| 24 | 1007.7 | 32.1 | 29.6 | 26.6 | 25.4 | 79 | 78 | 23.7 | 7.2 | 220 | 9.8 |
| 25 | 1009.0 | 34.4 | 29.7 | 28.2 | 25.6 | 79 | 84 | 1.1 | 7.7 | 120 | 7.5 |
| 26 | 1011.0 | 32.7 | 29.7 | 27.1 | 25.8 | 80 | 71 | 2.2 | 8.5 | 100 | 7.8 |
| 27 | 1012.0 | 29.2 | 25.6 | 23.4 | 23.6 | 89 | 82 | 29.3 | 0.5 | 080 | 20.2 |
| 28 | 1011.6 | 29.8 | 26.9 | 24.9 | 23.4 | 81 | 77 | 22.0 | 7.3 | 010 | 16.2 |
| 29 | 1011.2 | 29.9 | 27.8 | 25.3 | 24.7 | 83 | 86 | 13.9 | 4.0 | 050 | 20.2 |
| 30 | 1011.4 | 32.9 | 29.1 | 27.4 | 25.3 | 81 | 75 | Trace | 8.9 | 040 | 15.5 |
| 31 | 1011.1 | 29.1 | 27.3 | 25.2 | 25.1 | 88 | 87 | 13.5 | 1.4 | 020 | 13.2 |
| Mean/Total | 1006.4 | 31.4 | 28.8 | 26.8 | 25.4 | 83 | 77 | 350.5 | 160.0 | 230 | 16.5 |

^The prevailing wind direction is the wind direction most frequently observed during the period.

All data were recorded at the Hong Kong Observatory except sunshine duration which was recorded at King's Park and winds at Waglan Island.

**** unavailable*

Source: <http://www.weather.gov.hk/wxinfo/pastwx/metob202108.htm>

Appendix 3-2

Air Quality Monitoring Equipment Calibration Cert

Report no. : 940891CA202793

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF DUST METER

Client : Fugro Technical Services Limited

Project : Calibration Services

Client Supplied Information

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description : Laser dust monitor
Manufacturer : SIBATA
Model No. : LD-5R
Serial No. : 620408
Specification Limit : NA
Next Calibration Date : 26-Nov-2021

Laboratory Information

Description : 1. Balance 2. TSP high volume air sampler
Equipment ID. / Serial no. : 1. C-065-9 2. 4350
Date of Calibration : 27-Nov-2020 Ambient Temperature : 25 ± 10 °C
Calibration Location : General Chemical Laboratory of FTS and Ma Wan A1 Site Boundary
Method Used : By direct comparison the weight of dust particle trapped in a filter paper using high volume sampler (TSP method) for a certain period, with the reading of the UUT. They should be placed at the same location and powered on and off at the same time.

Calibration Results :

| Reference concentration (mg/m ³) | Total count for 1 hour | CPM (Count per minute) |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|
| 0.3486 | 5200 | 86.67 |
| 0.1257 | 4582 | 76.37 |
| 0.0943 | 4417 | 73.62 |

Remarks:

1. The equipment being used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
2. The interpolation equation : Concentration (mg/m³) = K x [UUT reading (CPM)], where K = 0.002403
3. Correlation coefficient (r) : 0.9962

Checked by : Cherry Date : 30-12-2020 Certified by : K.T. Leung Date : 5-1-2021

CA-R-297 (22/07/2009)

Leung Kwok Tai (Assistant Manager)

**** End of Report ****

Report no. : 940891CA202730(7)

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF DUST METER

Client : Fugro Technical Services Limited

Project : Calibration Services

Client Supplied Information

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description : Laser dust monitor
Manufacturer : SIBATA
Model No. : LD-5R
Serial No. : 882146
Specification Limit : NA
Next Calibration Date : 22-Nov-2021

Laboratory Information

Description : 1. Balance 2. TSP high volume air sampler
Equipment ID. / Serial no. : 1. C-065-9 2. 4350
Date of Calibration : 23-Nov-2020 Ambient Temperature : 25 ± 10 °C
Calibration Location : General Chemical Laboratory of FTS and Ma Wan A1 Site Boundary
Method Used : By direct comparison the weight of dust particle trapped in a filter paper using high volume sampler (TSP method) for a certain period, with the reading of the UUT. They should be placed at the same location and powered on and off at the same time.

Calibration Results :

| Reference concentration (mg/m ³) | Total count for 1 hour | CPM (Count per minute) |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|
| 0.0915 | 2788 | 46.47 |
| 0.0469 | 2287 | 38.12 |
| 0.1172 | 3129 | 52.15 |

Remarks:

1. The equipment being used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
2. The interpolation equation : Concentration (mg/m³) = $K \times [\text{UUT reading (CPM)}]$, where $K = 0.001869$
3. Correlation coefficient (r) : 0.9990

Checked by : C. Wong Date : 15-12-2020 Certified by : K.T. Leung Date : 15-12-2020

CA-R-297 (22/07/2009)

Leung Kwok Tai (Assistant Manager)

** End of Report **

Report no. : 940891CA202730(2)

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF DUST METER

Client : Fugro Technical Services Limited

Project : Calibration Services

Client Supplied Information

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description : Laser dust monitor
Manufacturer : SIBATA
Model No. : LD-5R
Serial No. : 882147
Specification Limit : NA
Next Calibration Date : 22-Nov-2021

Laboratory Information

Description : 1. Balance 2. TSP high volume air sampler
Equipment ID. / Serial no. : 1. C-065-9 2. 4350
Date of Calibration : 23-Nov-2020 Ambient Temperature : 25 ± 10 °C
Calibration Location : General Chemical Laboratory of FTS and Ma Wan A1 Site Boundary
Method Used : By direct comparison the weight of dust particle trapped in a filter paper using high volume sampler (TSP method) for a certain period, with the reading of the UUT. They should be placed at the same location and powered on and off at the same time.

Calibration Results :

| Reference concentration (mg/m ³) | Total count for 1 hour | CPM (Count per minute) |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|
| 0.0915 | 3424 | 57.07 |
| 0.0469 | 2818 | 46.97 |
| 0.1172 | 3629 | 60.48 |

Remarks:

1. The equipment being used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
2. The interpolation equation : Concentration (mg/m³) = K x [UUT reading (CPM)], where K = 0.001554
3. Correlation coefficient (r) : 0.9923

Checked by : Cherry Date : 15-12-2020 Certified by : K.T. Young Date : 15-12-2020

CA-R-297 (22/07/2009)

Leung Kwok Tai (Assistant Manager)

**** End of Report ****

Report no. : 940891CA211483

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF DUST METER

Client : Fugro Technical Services Limited

Project : Calibration Services

Client Supplied Information

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description : Laser dust monitor
 Manufacturer : SIBATA
 Model No. : LD-5R
 Serial No. : 892186
 Specification Limit : NA
 Next Calibration Date : 02-Jun-2022

Laboratory Information

Description : 1. Balance 2. TSP high volume air sampler
 Equipment ID. / Serial no. : 1. C-065-9 2. 4350
 Date of Calibration : 03-Jun-2021 Ambient Temperature : 25 ± 10 °C
 Calibration Location : General Chemical Laboratory of FTS and Ma Wan A1 Site Boundary
 Method Used : By direct comparison the weight of dust particle trapped in a filter paper using high volume sampler (TSP method) for a certain period, with the reading of the UUT. They should be placed at the same location and powered on and off at the same time.

Calibration Results :

| Reference concentration (mg/m ³) | Total count for 1 hour | CPM (Count per minute) |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|
| 0.0569 | 2147 | 35.78 |
| 0.0675 | 2299 | 38.32 |
| 0.1122 | 2675 | 44.58 |

Remarks:

1. The equipment being used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
2. The interpolation equation : Concentration (mg/m³) = K x [UUT reading (CPM)], where K = 0.001994
3. Correlation coefficient (r) : 0.9948

Checked by : Cherry Date : 23-6-2021 Certified by : Leung Kwok Tai Date : 30-6-2021
 CA-R-297 (22/07/2009) Leung Kwok Tai (Assistant Manager)

**** End of Report ****

Appendix 3-3

High-Volume Sampler Calibration Calculation Spreadsheet

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | | | |
|-------------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Model: | Tisch TE-5170 | Date of Calibration: | 28-Jul-21 |
| Serial No.: | 4350 | Next Calibration Date: | 27-Oct-21 |
| | | Technician: Addison Wong | |

| CONDITIONS | | | |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|-----|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa): | 997.50 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): | 748 |
| Temperature (°C): | 31 | Temperature (K): | 304 |

| CALIBRATION ORIFICE | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Model: | Tisch TE-5025A | Qstd Slope: | 2.11508 |
| Serial No.: | 2154 | Qstd Intercept: | -0.02962 |
| Calibration Date: | 11-Sep-20 | Expiry Date: | 11-Sep-21 |

| CALIBRATIONS | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|----------------|--|
| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m ³ /min) | I (chart) | IC (corrected) | LINEAR REGRESSION |
| 18 | 5.20 | -6.30 | 11.500 | 1.590 | 58.00 | 57.00 | Slope = 25.7127 Intercept = 15.9421 Corr. coeff.= 0.9985 |
| 13 | 4.10 | -5.20 | 9.300 | 1.431 | 53.00 | 52.08 | |
| 10 | 2.80 | -4.00 | 6.800 | 1.226 | 49.00 | 48.15 | |
| 7 | 1.50 | -2.60 | 4.100 | 0.955 | 41.00 | 40.29 | |
| 5 | 0.60 | -1.70 | 2.300 | 0.719 | 35.00 | 34.39 | |

Calculations:


Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]
 IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart response
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
 Tstd = 298 deg K
 Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
 $1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/\text{Tav})(\text{Pav}/760)]-b)$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure

FLOW RATE CHART



| Standard Flow Rate (m³/min) | Actual chart response (IC) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 0.719 | 34.39 |
| 0.955 | 40.29 |
| 1.226 | 48.15 |
| 1.431 | 52.08 |
| 1.590 | 57.00 |

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | | | |
|-------------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Model: | Tisch TE-5170 | Date of Calibration: | 28-Jul-21 |
| Serial No.: | 4374 | Next Calibration Date: | 27-Oct-21 |
| | | Technician: Addison Wong | |

| CONDITIONS | | | |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|-----|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa): | 997.50 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): | 748 |
| Temperature (°C): | 31 | Temperature (K): | 304 |

| CALIBRATION ORIFICE | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Model: | Tisch TE-5025A | Qstd Slope: | 2.11508 |
| Serial No.: | 2154 | Qstd Intercept: | -0.02962 |
| Calibration Date: | 11-Sep-20 | Expiry Date: | 11-Sep-21 |

| CALIBRATIONS | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|----------------|---|
| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m ³ /min) | I (chart) | IC (corrected) | LINEAR REGRESSION |
| 18 | 5.30 | -6.50 | 11.800 | 1.610 | 59.00 | 57.98 | Slope = 33.1186 Intercept = 4.8675 Corr. coeff.= 0.9978 |
| 13 | 4.00 | -5.30 | 9.300 | 1.431 | 53.00 | 52.08 | |
| 10 | 3.00 | -4.30 | 7.300 | 1.269 | 48.00 | 47.17 | |
| 7 | 1.90 | -2.70 | 4.600 | 1.010 | 40.00 | 39.31 | |
| 5 | 1.00 | -2.30 | 3.300 | 0.858 | 33.00 | 32.43 | |

Calculations:


Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]
 IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart response
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
 Tstd = 298 deg K
 Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
 $1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/\text{Tav})(\text{Pav}/760)]-b)$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure

FLOW RATE CHART



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | | | |
|-------------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Model: | Tisch TE-5170 | Date of Calibration: | 28-Jul-21 |
| Serial No.: | 2089 | Next Calibration Date: | 27-Oct-21 |
| | | Technician: Addison Wong | |

| CONDITIONS | | | |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|-----|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa): | 997.50 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): | 748 |
| Temperature (°C): | 31 | Temperature (K): | 304 |

| CALIBRATION ORIFICE | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Model: | Tisch TE-5025A | Qstd Slope: | 2.11508 |
| Serial No.: | 2154 | Qstd Intercept: | -0.02962 |
| Calibration Date: | 11-Sep-20 | Expiry Date: | 11-Sep-21 |

| CALIBRATIONS | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|----------------|--|
| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m ³ /min) | I (chart) | IC (corrected) | LINEAR REGRESSION |
| 18 | 5.40 | -7.30 | 12.700 | 1.670 | 56.00 | 55.03 | Slope = 26.4289 Intercept = 12.1927 Corr. coeff.= 0.9937 |
| 13 | 3.20 | -6.00 | 9.200 | 1.423 | 52.00 | 51.10 | |
| 10 | 2.10 | -5.10 | 7.200 | 1.261 | 47.00 | 46.19 | |
| 7 | 0.80 | -3.60 | 4.400 | 0.989 | 39.00 | 38.32 | |
| 5 | 0.00 | -2.80 | 2.800 | 0.791 | 33.00 | 32.43 | |

Calculations:


Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]
 IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart response
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)
 Tstd = 298 deg K
 Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:
 $1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/T_{av})(P_{av}/760)]-b)$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure

FLOW RATE CHART



| Standard Flow Rate (m³/min) | Actual chart response (IC) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 0.791 | 32.43 |
| 0.989 | 38.32 |
| 1.261 | 46.19 |
| 1.423 | 51.10 |
| 1.670 | 55.03 |

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | | | |
|-------------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Model: | Tisch TE-5170 | Date of Calibration: | 28-Jul-21 |
| Serial No.: | 3796 | Next Calibration Date: | 27-Oct-21 |
| | | Technician: Addison Wong | |

| CONDITIONS | | | |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|-----|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa): | 997.50 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): | 748 |
| Temperature (°C): | 31 | Temperature (K): | 304 |

| CALIBRATION ORIFICE | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Model: | Tisch TE-5025A | Qstd Slope: | 2.11508 |
| Serial No.: | 2154 | Qstd Intercept: | -0.02962 |
| Calibration Date: | 11-Sep-20 | Expiry Date: | 11-Sep-21 |

| CALIBRATIONS | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|----------------|--|
| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m ³ /min) | I (chart) | IC (corrected) | LINEAR REGRESSION |
| 18 | 4.50 | -7.60 | 12.100 | 1.630 | 58.00 | 57.00 | Slope = 28.0898 Intercept = 10.6956 Corr. coeff.= 0.9988 |
| 13 | 3.30 | -6.20 | 9.500 | 1.446 | 52.00 | 51.10 | |
| 10 | 2.10 | -5.30 | 7.400 | 1.278 | 47.00 | 46.19 | |
| 7 | 1.10 | -3.70 | 4.800 | 1.032 | 40.00 | 39.31 | |
| 5 | 0.00 | -2.80 | 2.800 | 0.791 | 34.00 | 33.41 | |

Calculations:

Qstd = $1/m[\text{Sqrt}(\text{H2O}(\text{Pa}/\text{Pstd})(\text{Tstd}/\text{Ta})) - b]$

IC = $I[\text{Sqrt}(\text{Pa}/\text{Pstd})(\text{Tstd}/\text{Ta})]$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$1/m((I) [\text{Sqrt}(298/\text{Tav})(\text{Pav}/760)] - b)$

m = sampler slope


b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure

FLOW RATE CHART



Appendix 3-4

*Methodology for Correlation Calculation between Potable Laser Dust Meter and
High-Volume Sampler*

1. Correlation between Portable laser dusty meter and High-volume Sampler Methodology

1.1. Correlation results between the direct reading meter and High-Volume Sampler

High – Volume Sampler Calibration

- 1.1.1. The specification, a sample of calibration certificate and certificate of comparison check with High volume sampler of the proposed air quality monitoring equipment listed in Table 2.1 are attached in appendix.
- 1.1.2. The High-Volume air sampler calibration procedure based on the requirement of manufacturer are shown below.
 - (a) Disconnect the sampler motor from the mass flow controller and connect the motor to a stable AC power source.
 - (b) Mount the calibrator orifice and top loading adapter plate to the sampler. A sampling filter is generally not used during this procedure. Tighten the top loading adapter hold down nuts securely to ensure that no air leaks are present.
 - (c) Allow the sampler motor to warm up to its normal operating temperature
 - (d) (approximately 10-15 minutes).
 - (e) Conduct a leak test by covering the hole(s) on top of the orifice and pressure tap on the orifice with your hands. Listen for a high-pitched squealing sound made by escaping air. If this sound is heard, a leak is present and the top loading adapter hold-down nuts need to be re-tightened. If the sound is lower, the leak is near one of the other gaskets in the system. Avoid running the sampler for longer than 30 seconds at a time with the orifice blocked to avoid overheating the motor. Do not perform this leak test procedure with a manometer connected to the side tap on the calibration orifice or the blower motor. Liquid from the manometer could be drawn into the system and cause motor damage.
 - (f) Connect one side of a water manometer to the pressure tap on the side of the orifice with a rubber vacuum tube. Leave the opposite side of the manometer open to the atmosphere. Note: Both valves on the manometer have to be open for the liquid to flow

freely. One side of the 'U' tube goes up the other goes down; add together for the "H₂O reading.

- (g) A manometer must be held vertically to ensure accurate readings. Tapping the backside of the continuous flow recorder will help to center the pen and provide accurate readings. When using a variable orifice, five flow rates are achieved in this step by adjusting the knob on the variable orifice to five different positions and taking five different readings.
- (h) Record the ambient air temperature, the ambient barometric pressure, the sampler serial number, the orifice s/n, the orifice slope and intercept with date last certified, today's date, site location and the operators initials on the attached blank calibration sheet.
- (i) An example of a Lead (or TSP) Sampler Calibration Data Sheet has been attached with data filled in from a typical calibration. This includes the transfer standard orifice calibration relationship which was taken from the Orifice Calibration Worksheet that accompanies the calibrator orifice.

Disconnect the sampler motor from its power source and remove the orifice and top loading adapter plate. Re-connect the sampler motor to the electronic mass flow controller.

- 1.1.3. Since this calibration is for a TSP sampler, the slope and intercept for this orifice uses standard flows rather than actual flows and is taken from the Q^{standard} section of the Orifice Calibration Worksheet. The Q^{actual} flows are only used when calibrating a PM-10 sampler.
- 2.3.4 The five orifice manometer readings taken during the calibration have been recorded in the column on the data worksheet titled Orifice "H₂O". The five continuous flow recorder readings taken during the calibration have been recorded under the column titled I chart.

- 2.3.5 The orifice manometer readings need to be converted to the standard air flows they represent using the following equation:

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}((H_2O)(P_a/760)(298/T_a)) - b]$$

where:

Q_{std} = actual flow rate as indicated by the calibrator orifice, m³/min

H_2O = orifice manometer reading during calibration, "H₂O

T_a = ambient temperature during calibration, K ($K = 273 + ^\circ\text{C}$)

298 = standard temperature, a constant that never changes, K

P_a = ambient barometric pressure during calibration, mm Hg

760 = standard barometric pressure, a constant that never changes, mm Hg

m = *Q*standard slope of orifice calibration relationship

b = *Q*standard intercept of orifice calibration relationship.

- 2.3.6 Once these standard flow rates have been determined for each of the five run points, they are recorded in the column titled Q_{std} , and are represented in cubic meters per minute.
- 2.3.7 Once these standard flow rates have been determined for each of the five run points, they are recorded in the column titled Q_{std} , and are represented in cubic meters per minute

- 2.3.8 The continuous flow recorder readings taken during the calibration need to be corrected to the current meteorological conditions using the following equation:

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}((Pa/760)(298/Ta))]$$

where:

IC = continuous flow recorder readings corrected to current Ta and Pa

I = continuous flow recorder readings during calibration

Pa = ambient barometric pressure during calibration, mm Hg.

760 = standard barometric pressure, a constant that never changes, mm Hg

Ta = ambient temperature during calibration, K (K = 273 + °C)

298 = standard temperature, a constant that never changes, K

- 2.3.9 After each of the continuous flow recorder readings have been corrected, they are recorded in the column titled IC (corrected).
- 2.3.10 Using Qstd and IC (or FLOW (corrected)) as the x and y axis respectively, a slope, intercept, and correlation coefficient can be calculated using the least squares regression method. The correlation coefficient should never be less than 0.990 after a five-point calibration. A coefficient below .990 indicates a calibration that is not linear and the calibration should be performed again. If this occurs, it is most likely the result of an air leak during the calibration or high wind speed during the calibration procedure.
- 2.3.11 The equations for determining the slope (m) and intercept (b) are as follows:

$$m = \frac{(\sum xy) - \frac{(\sum x)(\sum y)}{n}}{(\sum x^2) - \frac{(\sum x)^2}{n}} ; \quad b = \bar{y} - m\bar{x}$$

The equation for the coefficient of correlation (r) is as follows:

$$r = \frac{(\sum x)(\sum y)}{\sum xy} - \frac{n}{\sqrt{\left[\sum x^2 - \frac{(\sum x)^2}{n}\right] \left[\sum y^2 - \frac{(\sum y)^2}{n}\right]}}$$

where: n = number of observations
 \sum = sum of

- 2.3.12 The acceptable operating flow range of a TSP sampler is 1.1 to 1.7 m³/min (39 to 60 CFM). Looking at the worksheet column Qstd(see page 38), the flow rates that are within this range can be identified along with the chart reading (I) that represents them. For instance, if you wanted to set this sampler at 1.265 m³/min (44.67 CFM) (Make sure the mass flow controller is plugged in and a filter is in place) you would turn the Flow Adjustment screw until the continuous flow recorder read 37 on the chart. By making sure that the sampler is operating at a chart reading (or manometer reading) that is within the acceptable range, it can be assumed that valid TSP data is being collected.
- 2.3.13 A calibration that has a correlation coefficient of less than .990 is not considered linear and should be re-calibrated. Therefore, if $r < 0.990$, return all the points or only the point with the greatest deviation and the recalculate.
- 2.3.14 The 24-hour TSP levels to be measured by direct reading methods, utilising portable Laser Particle Photometer Monitors (Sibata Model LD-3B/5R), in place of High-Volume Sampler (HVS) if HVS experience difficulties in operation during monitoring. It is demonstrated by the previous project experiences, that 24-hour TSP monitoring results collected by direct reading method are comparable to those produced by the high-volume sampling method, to indicate short event impacts. The projects utilising the collection of 24-hour TSP levels data by direct reading methods are shown below.

Project Reference for utilising the collection of 24-hour TSP levels data by direct reading methods

| Project Contract Number | Location | Status |
|-------------------------|---|----------|
| NDO 03/2018 | Road Widening and Retrofitting Noise Barriers on Tai Po Road (Sha Tin Section) | On-going |
| NDO 14/2018 | Advance and First Stage Works of Kwu Tung North and Fanling North New Development Areas | On-going |

2.3.15 Calculation of the value of 24-hour TSP concentration is given by the average of 24 calculated 1-hour TSP concentration, where the calculated 1-hr TSP concentration is given by the product of the direct reading and the K-factor based on the correlation results between the direct reading meter and High-Volume Sampler.

2.3.16 The correlation results between the direct reading meter and High-Volume Sampler shall be review with bimonthly internal calibration. To maintain the correlation with two sets of data (monitoring data from HVS and monitoring data from Portable Laser Particle Photometer Monitors) bimonthly internal calculated are strongly linked together two sets of data.

2.3.17 To protect the dust meter from being damaged and to operate without disturbances or nuisance, temporary barriers shall be erected around the monitoring equipment during the monitoring period. Temporary barriers will be placed approx. 0.5m away from the dust meter.

Maintenance/ Calibration for the High-Volume Sampler (HVS) being correlation

2.3.18 The HVS shall be calibrated bimonthly in accordance to the specification in the manufacturer's manual. The calibration certificates shall be available to the IEC for checking upon request. The validity and accuracy of the HVS shall also be tested against the result by the TE-5025A Calibration Kit periodically, Details of Calibration Cert and Specification for HVS- 5170 and HVS- Calibration Kit TE-5025A are given in Appendix 2-1 and Appendix 2-3.

2.3.19 Tisch TE-5170 is chosen as the HVS for 24-hour TSP monitoring and Tisch TE – 5025A is chosen as the HVS Calibration-Kit for HVS calibration.

2.3.20 The high-volume motors and their accessories should be properly maintained. Appropriate maintenance such as routine motor brushes replacement and electrical wiring checking should be made to ensure that the equipment and necessary power supply were in good working condition.

Appendix 3-5

*Correlation Calculation between Potable Laser Dust Meter and High-Volume
Sampler*

Correlation between HVS & Dust Meter

Date of Correlation: 28 July 2021

Date of Next Correlation: 27 October 2021

Laser dust monitor Information


Model: Sibata LD-5R
Serial No: 882147
Date of Calibration: 28 July 2021
Date of Next Calibration: 27 October 2021

High Volume Sampler (HVS) Information

Model: Tisch TE-5170
Serial No: 4350
Baseline Monitoring Location ID: AMS-1N
Baseline Monitoring Location: Footpath above House No. 28 Po Toi O Tsuen Road
Ambient Temperature: 30.8 °C
Method Used: By direct comparison the weight of dust particle trapped in a filter paper using HVS (TSP method) for a certain period, with the reading of the Unit under test. They should be placed at the same location and powered on and off at the same time.

Calibraion Results:

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| HVS - TSP $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ | 46.2 | 72.3 | 168.1 | 217.7 | 274.7 |
| Dust Meter - CPM | 31 | 60 | 120 | 182 | 224 |



Remarks:

1. K-Factor (x) = $1.2123x + 5.2118$
2. Correlation coefficient (r) = 0.9922

Correlation between HVS & Dust Meter

Date of Correlation: 28 July 2021

Date of Next Correlation: 27 October 2021

Laser dust monitor Information

Model: Sibata LD-5R

Serial No: 892186

Date of Calibration: 28 July 2021

Date of Next Calibration: 27 October 2021

High Volume Sampler (HVS) Information

Model: Tisch TE-5170

Serial No: 4374

Baseline Monitoring Location ID: AMS-2N1


Baseline Monitoring Location: Open Space Approx. 15m from Hung Shing Temple

Ambient Temperature: 30.8 °C

Method Used: By direct comparison the weight of dust particle trapped in a filter paper using HVS (TSP method) for a certain period, with the reading of the Unit under test. They should be placed at the same location and powered on and off at the same time.

Calibraion Results

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| Calibraion Results: | 36.4 | 63.2 | 146.9 | 175.3 | 243.2 |
| Dust Meter - CPM | 18 | 37 | 75 | 117 | 146 |



Remarks:

1. K-Factor (x) = $1.6011x + 6.069$
2. Correlation coefficient (r) = 0.9809

Correlation between HVS & Dust Meter

Date of Correlation: 28 July 2021

Date of Next Correlation: 27 October 2021

Laser dust monitor Information

Model: Sibata LD-5R

Serial No: 620408

Date of Calibration: 28 July 2021

Date of Next Calibration: 27 October 2021

High Volume Sampler (HVS) Information

Model: Tisch TE-5170

Serial No: 2089

Baseline Monitoring Location ID: AMS-3N


Baseline Monitoring Location: Vacant land near Temporary Structure (House) near

Ambient Temperature: 30.8 °C

Method Used: By direct comparison the weight of dust particle trapped in a filter paper using HVS (TSP method) for a certain period, with the reading of the Unit uner test. They should be paced at the same location and powered on and off at the same time.

Calibraion Results

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| Calibraion Results: | 39.1 | 64.0 | 148.9 | 186.0 | 244.6 |
| Dust Meter - CPM | 9 | 33 | 66 | 127 | 156 |



Remarks:

1. K-Factor (x) = $1.4425x + 20.098$
2. Correlation coefficient (r) = 0.9569

Correlation between HVS & Dust Meter

Date of Correlation: 28 July 2021

Date of Next Correlation: 27 October 2021

Laser dust monitor Information

Model: Sibata LD-5R

Serial No: 882146

Date of Calibration: 28 July 2021

Date of Next Calibration: 27 October 2021

High Volume Sampler (HVS) Information

Model: Tisch TE-5170

Serial No: 3796

Baseline Monitoring Location ID AMS-4N


Baseline Monitoring Location Resting shelter near Seacrest Villas

Ambient Temperature: 30.8 °C

Method Used: By direct comparison the weight of dust particle trapped in a filter paper using HVS (TSP method) for a certain period, with the reading of the Unit under test. They should be placed at the same location and powered on and off at the same time.

Calibraion Results

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Calibraion Results: | 68.2 | 128.8 | 168.0 | 324.8 | 326.2 |
| Dust Meter - CPM | 19 | 42 | 84 | 138 | 167 |



Remarks:

1. K-Factor (x) = $1.966x + 22.037$

2. Correlation coefficient (r) = 0.9655

Appendix 3-6

Air Quality and Noise Impact Monitoring Schedule

Contract No. EP516/2016
Port Shelter Sewerage, stage 3 – Sewage Works at Po Toi O

2021/08 Air and Noise Quality Impact Monitoring Schedule

| <i>Sunday</i> | <i>Monday</i> | <i>Tuesday</i> | <i>Wednesday</i> | <i>Thursday</i> | <i>Friday</i> | <i>Saturday</i> |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1-Aug | 2-Aug | 3-Aug | 4-Aug | 5-Aug | 6-Aug | 7-Aug |
| | | | | *Noise ** 1 - hr TSP **24- hr TSP | | |
| 8-Aug | 9-Aug | 10-Aug | 11-Aug | 12-Aug | 13-Aug | 14-Aug |
| | | | *Noise ** 1 - hr TSP **24- hr TSP | | | |
| 15-Aug | 16-Aug | 17-Aug | 18-Aug | 19-Aug | 20-Aug | 21-Aug |
| | | *Noise ** 1 - hr TSP **24- hr TSP | | | | |
| 22-Aug | 23-Aug | 24-Aug | 25-Aug | 26-Aug | 27-Aug | 28-Aug |
| | *Noise ** 1 - hr TSP **24- hr TSP | | | | ** 1 - hr TSP **24- hr TSP | |
| 29-Aug | 30-Aug | 31-Aug | 1-Sep | 2-Sep | 3-Sep | 4-Sep |
| | | | | *Noise ** 1 - hr TSP **24- hr TSP | | |

* Noise Monitoring Locations: NSM1N,NMS2N,NMS3Nand NMS4N

** 1hr TSP and 24- hr TSP Monitoring Locations: ASM1N ,ASM2N1, ASN3N and ASM4N

Contract No. EP516/2016
Port Shelter Sewerage, stage 3 – Sewage Works at Po Toi O

2021/09 Air and Noise Quality Impact Monitoring Schedule

| <i>Sunday</i> | <i>Monday</i> | <i>Tuesday</i> | <i>Wednesday</i> | <i>Thursday</i> | <i>Friday</i> | <i>Saturday</i> |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| 29-Aug | 30-Aug | 31-Aug | 1-Sep | 2-Sep | 3-Sep | 4-Sep |
| | | | | *Noise ** 1 - hr TSP **24- hr TSP | | |
| 5-Sep | 6-Sep | 7-Sep | 8-Sep | 9-Sep | 10-Sep | 11-Sep |
| | | | *Noise ** 1 - hr TSP **24- hr TSP | | | |
| 12-Sep | 13-Sep | 14-Sep | 15-Sep | 16-Sep | 17-Sep | 18-Sep |
| | | *Noise ** 1 - hr TSP **24- hr TSP | | | | |
| 19-Sep | 20-Sep | 21-Sep | 22-Sep | 23-Sep | 24-Sep | 25-Sep |
| | *Noise ** 1 - hr TSP **24- hr TSP | | | | ** 1 - hr TSP **24- hr TSP | |
| 26-Sep | 27-Sep | 28-Sep | 29-Sep | 30-Sep | 1-Oct | 2-Oct |
| | | | *Noise ** 1 - hr TSP **24- hr TSP | | | |

* Noise Monitoring Locations: NSM1N,NMS2N,NMS3Nand NMS4N

** 1hr TSP and 24- hr TSP Monitoring Locations: ASM1N ,ASM2N1, ASN3N and ASM4N

Appendix 3-7

1 hr and 24 hrs TSP Monitoring Result

Project No. 1825

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report for Port Shelter Phase 3, Po Toi O Sewerage Treatment Plant

2021/08 1-hour and 24-hours TSP Monitoring Data

Monitoring Location :

AMS-1N

| Date | Weather | 1-hour TSP Monitoring | | | | 24-hour TSP Monitoring | |
|------------|---------|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | Start Time | Concentration (µg/m³) | Average Concentration (µg/m³) | Start Time | Concentration (µg/m³) |
| 2021-08-05 | Fine | 1st hr | 09:41 | 44.0 | 42.8 | 09:41 | 40.4 |
| | | 2nd hr | 10:41 | 40.4 | | | |
| | | 3rd hr | 11:41 | 44.0 | | | |
| 2021-08-11 | Fine | 1st hr | 14:15 | 97.1 | 95.7 | 09:15 | 91.1 |
| | | 2nd hr | 15:15 | 90.6 | | | |
| | | 3rd hr | 16:15 | 99.3 | | | |
| 2021-08-17 | Sunny | 1st hr | 15:06 | 19.8 | 21.8 | 09:06 | 21.6 |
| | | 2nd hr | 16:06 | 21.0 | | | |
| | | 3rd hr | 17:06 | 24.6 | | | |
| 2021-08-23 | Cloudy | 1st hr | 11:08 | 37.9 | 37.1 | 09:08 | 31.9 |
| | | 2nd hr | 12:08 | 36.7 | | | |
| | | 3rd hr | 13:08 | 36.7 | | | |
| 2021-08-27 | Fine | 1st hr | 10:07 | 37.9 | 35.9 | 09:07 | 31.6 |
| | | 2nd hr | 11:07 | 34.3 | | | |
| | | 3rd hr | 12:07 | 35.5 | | | |
| | | | | Average : | 46.7 | Average : | 43.3 |
| | | | | Action Level : | 319 | Action Level : | 153 |
| | | | | Limit Level : | 500 | Limit Level : | 260 |

Project No. 1825

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report for Port Shelter Phase 3, Po Toi O Sewerage Treatment Plant

2021/08 1-hour and 24-hours TSP Monitoring Data

Monitoring Location :

AMS-2N1

| Date | Weather | 1-hour TSP Monitoring | | | | 24-hour TSP Monitoring | |
|------------|---------|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | Start Time | Concentration (µg/m³) | Average Concentration (µg/m³) | Start Time | Concentration (µg/m³) |
| 2021-08-05 | Fine | 1st hr | 15:49 | 79.7 | 73.3 | 09:49 | 53.4 |
| | | 2nd hr | 16:49 | 79.7 | | | |
| | | 3rd hr | 17:49 | 60.5 | | | |
| 2021-08-11 | Fine | 1st hr | 13:22 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 09:22 | 90.8 |
| | | 2nd hr | 14:22 | 101.7 | | | |
| | | 3rd hr | 15:22 | 97.7 | | | |
| 2021-08-17 | Sunny | 1st hr | 11:33 | 36.5 | 34.9 | 09:33 | 29.2 |
| | | 2nd hr | 12:33 | 34.9 | | | |
| | | 3rd hr | 13:33 | 33.3 | | | |
| 2021-08-23 | Cloudy | 1st hr | 12:14 | 42.9 | 40.8 | 09:14 | 38.5 |
| | | 2nd hr | 13:14 | 38.1 | | | |
| | | 3rd hr | 14:14 | 41.3 | | | |
| 2021-08-27 | Fine | 1st hr | 12:15 | 44.5 | 41.8 | 09:15 | 38.9 |
| | | 2nd hr | 13:15 | 41.3 | | | |
| | | 3rd hr | 14:15 | 39.7 | | | |
| | | | | Average : | 58.1 | Average : | 50.2 |
| | | | | Action Level : | 279 | Action Level : | 179 |
| | | | | Limit Level : | 500 | Limit Level : | 260 |

Project No. 1825

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report for Port Shelter Phase 3, Po Toi O Sewerage Treatment Plant

2021/08 1-hour and 24-hours TSP Monitoring Data

Monitoring Location :

AMS-3N

| Monitoring Location : | | Date : | | 1-hour TSP Monitoring | | 24-hour TSP Monitoring | |
|-----------------------|---------|--------|------------|---|--|------------------------|---|
| Date | Weather | | Start Time | Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Average Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Start Time | Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
| 2021-08-05 | Fine | 1st hr | 14:56 | 77.8 | 76.4 | 09:56 | 70.1 |
| | | 2nd hr | 15:56 | 70.6 | | | |
| | | 3rd hr | 16:56 | 80.7 | | | |
| 2021-08-11 | Fine | 1st hr | 15:31 | 105.9 | 105.9 | 09:31 | 85.3 |
| | | 2nd hr | 16:31 | 111.1 | | | |
| | | 3rd hr | 17:31 | 100.6 | | | |
| 2021-08-17 | Sunny | 1st hr | 10:26 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 09:26 | 37.4 |
| | | 2nd hr | 11:26 | 36.0 | | | |
| | | 3rd hr | 12:26 | 36.0 | | | |
| 2021-08-23 | Cloudy | 1st hr | 11:21 | 51.9 | 50.4 | 09:21 | 46.2 |
| | | 2nd hr | 12:21 | 49.0 | | | |
| | | 3rd hr | 13:21 | 50.4 | | | |
| 2021-08-27 | Fine | 1st hr | 11:23 | 50.4 | 48.5 | 09:23 | 46.2 |
| | | 2nd hr | 12:23 | 47.5 | | | |
| | | 3rd hr | 13:23 | 47.5 | | | |
| | | | | Average : | 63.4 | Average : | 57.0 |
| | | | | Action Level : | 303 | Action Level : | 158 |
| | | | | Limit Level : | 500 | Limit Level : | 260 |

Project No. 1825

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report for Port Shelter Phase 3, Po Toi O Sewerage Treatment Plant

2021/08 1-hour and 24-hours TSP Monitoring Data


Monitoring Location : AMS-4N

| Date | Weather | 1-hour TSP Monitoring | | | | 24-hour TSP Monitoring | |
|------------|---------|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | Start Time | Concentration (µg/m³) | Average Concentration (µg/m³) | Start Time | Concentration (µg/m³) |
| 2021-08-05 | Fine | 1st hr | 15:33 | 96.8 | 94.8 | 09:33 | 73.9 |
| | | 2nd hr | 16:33 | 100.7 | | | |
| | | 3rd hr | 17:33 | 86.9 | | | |
| 2021-08-11 | Fine | 1st hr | 15:06 | 66.9 | 71.9 | 09:06 | 70.2 |
| | | 2nd hr | 16:06 | 73.4 | | | |
| | | 3rd hr | 17:06 | 75.5 | | | |
| 2021-08-17 | Sunny | 1st hr | 16:18 | 51.5 | 53.5 | 09:18 | 50.1 |
| | | 2nd hr | 17:18 | 51.5 | | | |
| | | 3rd hr | 18:18 | 57.4 | | | |
| 2021-08-23 | Cloudy | 1st hr | 11:02 | 63.3 | 62.0 | 09:02 | 58.2 |
| | | 2nd hr | 12:02 | 59.4 | | | |
| | | 3rd hr | 13:02 | 63.3 | | | |
| 2021-08-27 | Fine | 1st hr | 11:00 | 65.3 | 62.7 | 09:00 | 58.5 |
| | | 2nd hr | 12:00 | 61.4 | | | |
| | | 3rd hr | 13:00 | 61.4 | | | |
| | | | | Average : | 69.0 | Average : | 62.2 |
| | | | | Action Level : | 278 | Action Level : | 144 |
| | | | | Limit Level : | 500 | Limit Level : | 260 |


Appendix 3-7 - 1hour and 24 hours TSP Monitoring Result

Reporting Month: 2021/08


AMS1N – 1 – hour TSP monitoring Chart




AMS1N – 24-hour TSP Monitoring




AMS2N1 – 1 – hour TSP monitoring Chart




AMS2N1 – 24-hour TSP Monitoring




AMS3N – 1 – hour TSP monitoring Chart




AMS3N – 24-hour TSP Monitoring



AMS4N – 1 – hour TSP Monitoring



AMS4N – 24-hour TSP Monitoring



Appendix 3-8

Event and Action Plan for Air Quality Monitoring

Appendix 3-8 Event and Action Plan for Air Quality Impact Monitoring

| EVENT | ACTION | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| | ET | IEC | ER | CONTRACTOR |
| Action Level | | | | |
| 1.Exceedance for one sample | 1. Inform IEC, ER and Contractor; 2. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method. | 1. Notify Contractor | 1. Rectify any unacceptable practice; 2. 2. Amend working methods if appropriate. |
| 2.Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | 1. Inform IEC, ER and Contractor; 2. Identify source; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 6. Discuss with IEC, ER and Contractor on remedial actions required; 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures 4. Advise the ET/ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Supervise Implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | 1. Submit proposals for remedial to 2. ER and IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Amend proposal if appropriate. |

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report for Port Shelter Phase 3, Po Toi O Sewerage Treatment Plant

| EVENT | ACTION | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| | ET | IEC | ER | CONTRACTOR |
| Limit Level | | | | |
| 1.Exceedance for one sample | 1. Inform IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Discuss with ET and IEC on remedial actions 3. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 4. Implement the agreed proposals; 5. Amend proposal if appropriate. |
| 2.Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | 1. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Identify source; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Arrange meeting with IEC and ER and Contractor to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 4. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; 5. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consultation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct 6. the Contractor to terminate that portion of work until the exceedance ceases. | 1. Take immediate action to avoid 2. further exceedance; 3. Discuss with ET and IEC on remedial actions 4. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER and IEC within 3 working days of notification; 5. Implement the agreed proposals; 6. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; 7. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance ceases. |

Appendix 4-1

Noise Monitoring Equipment Calibration Certificates

Report no.: 203258CA210597

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL METER

Client Supplied Information

Client : Fugro Technical Services Ltd.

Project : Calibration Services

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description : Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer : Casella

Model No. :

Serial No. :

Equipment ID :

Next Calibration Date :

Specification Limit :

| Meter | Microphone | Preamplifier |
|---------|------------|--------------|
| CEL-63X | CE-251 | CEL-495 |
| 0873573 | 03999 | 002748 |

N-43

17-Mar-2022

EN 61672-1: 2003 Class 1

Laboratory Information

Details of Reference Equipment -

Description : B & K Acoustic Multifunction Calibrator 4226 (Traditional free field setting)

Equipment ID. : R-108-1

Date of Calibration : 18-Mar-2021

Calibration Location : Calibration Laboratory of FTS

Ambient Temperature : 20±2 °C

Method Used : By direct comparison

Relative Humidity : <80% R.H.

Calibration Results :

| Parameters | | Mean Value (dB) | Specification Limit(dB) |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| A-weighting frequency response | 4000Hz | 1.3 | 2.6 to -0.6 |
| | 2000Hz | 1.3 | 2.8 to -0.4 |
| | 1000Hz | 0.0 | 1.1 to -1.1 |
| | 500Hz | -3.4 | -1.8 to -4.6 |
| | 250Hz | -8.8 | -7.2 to -10.0 |
| | 125Hz | -16.2 | -14.6 to -17.6 |
| | 63Hz | -26.3 | -24.7 to -27.7 |
| | 31.5Hz | -39.3 | -37.4 to -41.4 |
| Differential level linearity | 94dB-104dB | 0.0 | ± 0.6 |
| | 104dB-114dB | 0.0 | ± 0.6 |

Remarks :

1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
2. The mean value is the average of four measurements.
3. For calibration: Reference SPL are 94, 104 & 114dB, range setting is 20-140dB & time weighting is fast.
4. The UUT does comply with EN 61672-1: 2003 Class 1 sound level meter for the above measurement.
5. The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values at the time of the test and any uncertainties will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement.

Checked by : William Date : 23-3-2021 Certified by : L. T. Young Date : 24-3-2021
CA-R-297 (22/07/2009) Leung Kwok Tai (Assistant Manager)

** End of Report **

Report no.: 203258CA202018

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL METER

Client Supplied Information

Client : Fugro Technical Services Ltd.

Project : Calibration Services

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description : Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer : Casella

Model No. :

| Meter | Microphone | Preamplifier |
|---------|------------|--------------|
| CEL-63X | CE-251 | CEL-495 |
| 1488269 | 00995 | 003341 |

Serial No. :

Equipment ID : NA

Next Calibration Date : 28-Sep-2021

Specification Limit : EN 61672-1: 2003 Class 1

Laboratory Information

Details of Reference Equipment -

Description : B & K Acoustic Multifunction Calibrator 4226 (Traditional free field setting)

Equipment ID. : R-108-1

Date of Calibration : 29-Sep-2020

Calibration Location : Calibration Laboratory of FTS

Ambient Temperature : 20±2 °C

Method Used : By direct comparison

Relative Humidity : <80% R.H.

Calibration Results :

| Parameters | Mean Value (dB) | Specification Limit(dB) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| A-weighting frequency response | 4000Hz | 0.9 |
| | 2000Hz | 1.1 |
| | 1000Hz | 0.0 |
| | 500Hz | -3.4 |
| | 250Hz | -8.7 |
| | 125Hz | -16.1 |
| | 63Hz | -26.1 |
| | 31.5Hz | -38.9 |
| Differential level linearity | 94dB-104dB | 0.0 |
| | 104dB-114dB | 0.0 |

Remarks :

1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
2. The mean value is the average of four measurements.
3. For calibration: Reference SPL are 94, 104 & 114dB, range setting is 20-140dB & time weighting is fast
4. The UUT does comply with EN 61672-1: 2003 Class 1 sound level meter for the above measurement.
5. The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values at the time of the test and any uncertainties will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement.

Checked by : William Date : 6-10-2020 Certified by : D. T. Young Date : 6-10-2020
CA-R-297 (22/07/2009)

Leung Kwok Tai (Assistant Manager)

** End of Report **

Report no.: 203258CA202302(1)

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL METER

Client Supplied Information

Client : Fugro Technical Services Ltd.

Project : Calibration Services

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description : Sound Level Meter
Manufacturer : Casella

| | Meter | Microphone | Preamplifier |
|------------|---------|------------|--------------|
| Model No. | CEL-63X | CE-251 | CEL-495 |
| Serial No. | 1488295 | 02795 | 003538 |

Equipment ID : N-54
Next Calibration Date : 29-Oct-2021
Specification Limit : EN 61672-1: 2003 Class 1

Laboratory Information

Details of Reference Equipment -

Description : B & K Acoustic Multifunction Calibrator 4226 (Traditional free field setting)
Equipment ID. : R-108-1
Date of Calibration : 30-Oct-2020
Calibration Location : Calibration Laboratory of FTS Ambient Temperature : 20±2 °C
Method Used : By direct comparison Relative Humidity : <80% R.H.

Calibration Results :

| Parameters | | Mean Value (dB) | Specification Limit(dB) |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| A-weighting frequency response | 4000Hz | 1.0 | 2.6 to -0.6 |
| | 2000Hz | -0.2 | 2.8 to -0.4 |
| | 1000Hz | 0.0 | 1.1 to -1.1 |
| | 500Hz | -3.3 | -1.8 to -4.6 |
| | 250Hz | -8.7 | -7.2 to -10.0 |
| | 125Hz | -16.2 | -14.6 to -17.6 |
| | 63Hz | -26.1 | -24.7 to -27.7 |
| | 31.5Hz | -38.7 | -37.4 to -41.4 |
| Differential level linearity | 94dB-104dB | 0.0 | ± 0.6 |
| | 104dB-114dB | 0.1 | ± 0.6 |

Remarks :

1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
2. The mean value is the average of four measurements.
3. For calibration: Reference SPL are 94, 104 & 114dB, range setting is 20-140dB & time weighting is fast.
4. The UUT does comply with EN 61672-1: 2003 Class 1 sound level meter for the above measurement.
5. The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values at the time of the test and any uncertainties will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement.

Checked by : Lillian Date : 4-11-2020 Certified by : K.T. Leung Date : 4-11-2020
CA-R-297 (22/07/2009) Leung Kwok Tai (Assistant Manager)

** End of Report **

Report no.: 203258CA210118

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND CALIBRATOR

Client : Fugro Technical Services Ltd.

Project : Calibration Services

Client Supplied Information

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description : Sound Calibrator
Manufacturer : Casella (Model CEL-120/1)
Serial No. : 2092809
Equipment ID : N/A

Next Calibration Date : 09-Apr-2022

Specification Limit : EN 60942: 2003 Class 1

Laboratory Information

Details of Calibration Equipment

Description : Reference Sound level meter
Equipment ID. : R-119-2

Date of Calibration : 10-Apr-2021

Calibration Location : Calibration Laboratory of FTS Ambient Temperature : 20±2 °C

Method Used : By direct comparison Relative Humidity : <80% R.H.

Calibration Results :

| Parameters (Setting of UUT) | Mean Value (error of measurement) | Specification Limit |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| 94dB | 0.2 dB | ±0.4dB |
| 114dB | 0.2 dB | |

Remarks :

1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
2. The mean value is the average of four measurements.
3. The unit under test complies with the specification limit.
4. The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the unit-under-test and the values measured at the time of the test. Any uncertainties quoted will not include allowances for the environmental changes, variation and shock during transportation, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement.

Checked by : William Date : 12-4-2021 Certified by : K.T. Leung Date : 13-4-2021
CA-R-297 (22/07/2009) Leung Kwok Tai (Assistant Manager)

** End of Report **

Report no.: 203258CA202146(1)

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND CALIBRATOR

Client : Fugro Technical Services Ltd.

Project : Calibration Services

Client Supplied Information

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description : Sound Calibrator
Manufacturer : Casella (Model CEL-120/1)
Serial No. : 2383852
Equipment ID : N/A
Next Calibration Date : 14-Oct-2021
Specification Limit : EN 60942: 2003 Class 1

Laboratory Information

Details of Calibration Equipment

Description : Reference Sound level meter
Equipment ID. : R-119-1
Date of Calibration : 15-Oct-2020
Calibration Location : Calibration Laboratory of FTS Ambient Temperature : 20±2 °C
Method Used : By direct comparison Relative Humidity : <80% R.H.

Calibration Results :

| Parameters (Setting of UUT) | Mean Value (error of measurement) | Specification Limit(dB) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 94dB | -0.2 dB | ±0.4dB |
| 114dB | -0.2 dB | |

Remarks :

1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
2. The mean value is the average of four measurements.
3. The unit under test complies with the specification limit.
4. The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the unit-under-test and the values measured at the time of the test. Any uncertainties quoted will not include allowances for the environmental changes, variation and shock during transportation, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement.

Checked by : William Date : 19-10-2020 Certified by : K.T. Leung Date : 19-10-2020
CA-R-297 (22/07/2009) Leung Kwok Tai (Assistant Manager)

** End of Report **

Report no.: 212769CA211664

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND CALIBRATOR

Client : Fugro Technical Services Ltd.

Project : Calibration Services

Client Supplied Information**Details of Unit Under Test, UUT**

Description : Sound Calibrator
Manufacturer : Casella (Model CEL-120/1)
Serial No. : 5230758
Equipment ID : N/A

Next Calibration Date : 15-Jul-2022

Specification Limit : EN 60942: 2003 Class 1

Laboratory Information**Details of Calibration Equipment**

Description : Reference Sound level meter
Equipment ID. : R-119-2

Date of Calibration : 16-Jul-2021

Calibration Location : Calibration Laboratory of FTS Ambient Temperature : 20±2 °C

Method Used : By direct comparison Relative Humidity : <80% R.H.

Calibration Results :

| Parameters (Setting of UUT) | Mean Value (error of measurement) | Specification Limit(dB) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 94dB | -0.2 dB | ±0.4dB |
| 114dB | -0.2 dB | |

Remarks :

1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
2. The mean value is the average of four measurements.
3. The expanded uncertainty is 0.3 dB with a coverage factor of 2 at a confidence level of 95%.
4. The unit under test complies with the specification limit.
5. The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the unit-under-test and the values measured at the time of the test. Any uncertainties quoted will not include allowances for the environmental changes, variation and shock during transportation, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement.

Checked by : Cuny Date : 20-7-2021 Certified by : R.T. Leung Date : 20-7-2021
CA-R-297 (22/07/2009) Leung Kwok Tai (Assistant Manager)

**** End of Report ****

Report No. : 212769CA211145

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF ANEMOMETER**Client Supplied Information**

Client : Fugro Technical Services Limited

Project : Calibration Services

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description : Anemometer

Manufacturer : SENSOR

Model No. : AR816

Serial No. : 2136513

Equipment ID.: NA

Next Calibration Date : 30-May-2022

Laboratory Information

Details of Reference Equipment –

Description : Reference Anemometer

Equipment ID.: R-101-4

Date of Calibration : 31-May-2021 Ambient Temperature : 22 °C

Calibration Location : Calibration Laboratory of FTS

Method Used : In-house Method R-C-279

Calibration Results :

| Reference Reading (m/s) | UUT Reading (m/s) | Error (m/s) |
|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| 1.99 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 4.00 | 4.3 | 0.3 |
| 6.01 | 6.3 | 0.3 |
| 7.99 | 8.2 | 0.2 |
| 10.03 | 9.9 | -0.1 |

Remark :

1. The equipment being used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
2. The reported readings in this calibration are an average from 10 trials.
3. The expanded uncertainty is 0.5 m/s with a coverage factor of 2 at a confidence level of 95%.

Checked by : Lillian Date : 2-6-2021 Certified by : P.T. Leung Date : 2-6-2021

CA-R-297 (22/07/2009)

Leung Kwok Tai (Assistant Manager)

** End of Report **

Appendix 4-2

Noise Impact Monitoring Results

Project No. 1825

Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report for Port Shelter Phase 3, Po Toi O Sewerage Treatment Plant

Appendix 4-2 : Noise Monitoring Data

Month 2021/08

| Monitoring Location : | | NMS1N | | | |
|---|---|-----------|-----------|-------------------|--|
| Start Date & Time | Leq30min dB(A)* | L10 dB(A) | L90 dB(A) | Limit Level dB(A) | |
| 2021-08-05 | 65.8 | 69.5 | 61.0 | 75 | |
| 2021-08-11 | 58.1 | 61.0 | 55.5 | 75 | |
| 2021-08-17 | 57.6 | 59.0 | 53.5 | 75 | |
| 2021-08-23 | 62.7 | 65.0 | 53.0 | 75 | |
| Average : | 62.4 | | | | |
| Action Level : | When one valid documented complaint is received | | | | |
| Limit Level : | 75.0 dB(A) | | | | |
| * Remark: For Free-field measurement, a correction of +3dB(A) should be made to the measured results. | | | | | |

| Monitoring Location : | | NMS2N1 | | |
|---|---|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Start Date & Time | Leq30min dB(A)* | L10 dB(A) | L90 dB(A) | Limit Level dB(A) |
| 2021-08-05 | 67.7 | 71.0 | 64.5 | 75 |
| 2021-08-11 | 56.6 | 59.5 | 53.5 | 75 |
| 2021-08-17 | 58.6 | 61.5 | 50.5 | 75 |
| 2021-08-23 | 59.6 | 61.5 | 55.0 | 75 |
| Average : | 63.0 | | | |
| Action Level : | When one valid documented complaint is received | | | |
| Limit Level : | 75.0 dB(A) | | | |
| * Remark: For Free-field measurement, a correction of +3dB(A) should be made to the measured results. | | | | |


| Monitoring Location : | | NMS3N | | |
|---|---|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Start Date & Time | Leq30min dB(A)* | L10 dB(A) | L90 dB(A) | Limit Level dB(A) |
| 2021-08-05 | 61.4 | 67.0 | 60.0 | 75 |
| 2021-08-11 | 57.2 | 60.0 | 54.5 | 75 |
| 2021-08-17 | 57.8 | 59.5 | 53.5 | 75 |
| 2021-08-23 | 58.7 | 61.5 | 54.0 | 75 |
| Average : | 59.1 | | | |
| Action Level : | When one valid documented complaint is received | | | |
| Limit Level : | 75.0 dB(A) | | | |
| * Remark: For Free-field measurement, a correction of +3dB(A) should be made to the measured results. | | | | |

| Monitoring Location : | | NMS4N | | |
|---|---|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Start Date & Time | Leq30min dB(A)* | L10 dB(A) | L90 dB(A) | Limit Level dB(A) |
| 2021-08-05 | 57.2 | 60.0 | 54.0 | 75 |
| 2021-08-11 | 59.1 | 61.5 | 55.0 | 75 |
| 2021-08-17 | 56.6 | 57.5 | 51.0 | 75 |
| 2021-08-23 | 56.2 | 58.2 | 52.5 | 75 |
| Average : | 57.4 | | | |
| Action Level : | When one valid documented complaint is received | | | |
| Limit Level : | 75.0 dB(A) | | | |
| * Remark: For Free-field measurement, a correction of +3dB(A) should be made to the measured results. | | | | |

Appendix 4-2 - Noise Monitoring Data Chart


Monitoring Station: NMS1N

Leq30 minutes Impact Monitoring




Monitoring Station: NMS2N1

Leq30 minutes Impact Monitoring




Monitoring Station: NMS3N

Leq30 minutes Impact Monitoring



Monitoring Station: NMS4N

Leq30 minutes Impact Monitoring



Appendix 4-3

Event and Action Plan for Noise Impact Monitoring

Appendix 4-3 Event and Action Plan for Noise Impact Monitoring

| EVENT | ACTION | | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|--|
| | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor |
| Action Level | 1. Notify IEC, ER and Contractor of exceedance; 2. Identify source 3. Investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 4. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; 5. Discuss with the IEC, ER and Contractor and formulate remedial measures; 6. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. | 1. Review the analysed results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented | 1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to ER with copy to ET and IEC; Implement noise mitigation proposals. |

| EVENT | ACTION | | | |
|-------------|--|--|---|--|
| | ET | IEC | ER | CONTRACTOR |
| Limit Level | | | | |
| | 1. Inform IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor; 2. Identify source; 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented; 5. If exceedance continues, investigate what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to terminate that portion of work until the exceedance ceases. | 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER with copy to ET and IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; 5. Terminate the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance ceases. |

Appendix 6-2

Monthly Waste Flow Table

Appendix 6-2 Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table**Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2021 (year)**

Name of Department: DSD

Contract No. DC 2019/09 Port Shelter Phase 3, Po Toi O Sewage Treatment Plant

| Month | Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | | | Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly | | | | |
|-----------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| | Total Quantity Generated | Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ cardboard packaging | Plastics (see notes 3) | Chemical Waste | Others, e.g. general refuse |
| | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000m ³) |
| Jan | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Feb | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mar | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Apr | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| May | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| June | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Sub-Total | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| July | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Aug | 0.013 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.013 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Sep | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oct | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nov | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Month | Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | | | Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly | | | | |
|-------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| | Total Quantity Generated | Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ cardboard packaging | Plastics (see notes 3) | Chemical Waste | Others, e.g. general refuse |
| | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000m ³) |
| Dec | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 0.013 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.013 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

- Notes: (1) The performance targets are given in the Environmental Management Plan.
 (2) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials to be imported for use at the Site.
 (3) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material.

Appendix 6-3

Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

Annex A - Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures * | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location of the measure | Duration of the measure | Implementation stages | Relveant Legislation & Guidelines |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--|--|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Air Quality Impact | | | | | | | | |
| Project Specific Measures | | | | | | | | |
| 3.8 | A1 | Deodourizer should have at least 99.5% hydrogen sulfide removal efficiency. | To minimize odour nuisance to sensitive receivers | DSD | Sewage Treatment Plant | Throughout operational phase | Operational phase | EIAO-TM |
| 3.8 | A2 | Odourous materials (sludge, screenings and grits, worn filter) should be stored and removed in sealed tankers and containers. | To minimize odour nuisance to sensitive receivers | DSD | Sewage Treatment Plant | Throughout operational phase | Operational phase | EIAO-TM |
| 3.8 | A3 | Sludge should be transferred to sludge tanker by coupling method. | To minimize odour nuisance to sensitive receivers | DSD | Sewage Treatment Plant | Throughout operational phase | Operational phase | EIAO-TM |
| 3.8 | A4 | During release of pressure from the tanker, the odourous gas should be discharged into the sludge storage room for extraction to deodourization unit. | To minimize odour nuisance to sensitive receivers | DSD | Sewage Treatment Plant | Throughout operational phase | Operational phase | EIAO-TM |
| 3.8 | A5 | Regular inspection should be conducted to check for leakage of odourous gas | To minimize odour nuisance to sensitive receivers | DSD | Sewage Treatment Plant | Throughout operational phase | Operational phase | EIAO-TM |
| 3.8 | A6 | Maintain the removal efficiency of screenings and grits by flushing the screens and grit sump regularly to prevent build up of solids | To maintain the removal efficiency of screenings and grits | DSD | Sewage Treatment Plant | Throughout operational phase | Operational phase | EIAO-TM |
| 3.8 | A7 | Maintain the efficiency of MBR membrane by removing organic and inorganic debris regularly | To maintain the efficiency of MBR membrane | DSD | Sewage Treatment Plant | Throughout operational phase | Operational phase | EIAO-TM |
| 3.8 | A8 | Replace worn filter to maintain the odour removal efficiency at 99.5% | To minimize odour nuisance to sensitive receivers | DSD | Sewage Treatment Plant | Throughout operational phase | Operational phase | EIAO-TM |
| 3.8 | A9 | Clean all the tanks with water regularly | To minimize odour nuisance to sensitive receivers | DSD | Sewage Treatment Plant | Throughout operational phase | Operational phase | EIAO-TM |
| Generic/Standard Measures | | | | | | | | |
| 3.8 | A10 | Good housekeeping to minimize dust generation, e.g. by properly handling and storing dusty materials | To minimize dust generation | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM, APCO |
| 3.8 | A11 | Adopt dust control measures, such as dust suppression using water spray on exposed soil (at least 4 times per day), in areas with dusty construction activities and during material handling | To minimize dust generation due to erosion | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM, APCO |

Annex A - Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures * | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location of the measure | Duration of the measure | Implementation stages | Relveant Legislation & Guidelines |
|-----------------|----------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 3.8 | A12 | Store cement bags in shelter with 3 sides and the top covered by impervious materials if the stack exceeds 20 bags | To prevent leakage of cement | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM, APCO |
| 3.8 | A13 | Maintain a reasonable height when dropping excavated materials to limit dust generation | To minimize dust generation during movement of excavated materials | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM, APCO |
| 3.8 | A14 | Limit vehicle speed within construction site and in Po Toi O to 10km/hr and confine vehicle movement in haul road | To minimize dust generation due to traffic movement | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM, APCO |
| 3.8 | A15 | Minimize exposed earth after completion of work in a certain area by hydroseeding, vegetating, soil compacting or covering with bitumen | To minimize dust generation due to erosion | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM, APCO |
| 3.8 | A16 | Provide wheel washing at construction site exit to clean the vehicle body and wheel | To prevent dust from being brought offsite | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM, APCO |
| 3.8 | A17 | Cover materials on trucks before leaving the construction site to prevent debris from dropping during traffic movement or being blown away by wind | To prevent falling of debris during traffic movement and by wind | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM, APCO |
| 3.8 | A18 | Regular maintenance of plant equipment to prevent black smoke emission | To minimize black smoke emission | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM, APCO |
| 3.8 | A19 | Throttle down or switch off unused machines or machine in intermittent use | To minimize unnecessary emission | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM, APCO |
| 3.8 | A20 | Minimize excavation area as far as possible | To minimize dust emission and potential release of odour from exposed ground | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM, APCO |
| 3.8 | A21 | Store odourous excavated materials in covered containers and remove off-site as soon as possible within 24 hours | To minimize odour nuisance to sensitive receivers | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM, APCO |
| 3.8 | A22 | Cover open stockpiles of construction materials (e.g. aggregates, sand and fill materials) with impermeable materials such as tarpaulin during rainstorms. | To prevent soil erosion under rainstorm | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM, APCO |
| 3.8 | A23 | Hoarding of not less than 2.4 m high shall be erected from ground level to surround the construction site for sewage treatment plant along Po Toi O Chuen Road except for a construction site entrance or exit | To minimize dust emission | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM, APCO |

Annex A - Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures * | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location of the measure | Duration of the measure | Implementation stages | Relveant Legislation & Guidelines |
|-----------------|----------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| 3.8 | A24 | Carry out air quality monitoring throughout the construction period | To monitor construction dust level | DSD's Contractor | At representative ASRs | Prior to and throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM |
| 3.8 | A25 | Carry out regular site inspection to audit the implementation of mitigation measures | To check the implemenation status and effectiveness of mitigation measures | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM, APCO |

Annex A - Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures * | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location of the measure | Duration of the measure | Implementation stages | Relveant Legislation & Guidelines |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---|--|----------------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Noise Impact | | | | | | | | |
| Project Specific Measures | | | | | | | | |
| 4.7 | N1 | Use hand-held plant equipment or manual equipment within village area | To minimize construction noise level | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | NCO, EIAO-TM |
| 4.7 | N2 | For HDD, enclose the stationary plant equipment on three sides with cover. Only the side facing the sea shall be opened for heat exhaustion. | To lower noise transmission | DSD's Contractor | HDD work site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | NCO, EIAO-TM |
| 4.7 | N3 | Generator should be placed at a fixed location at least 5-6m away from the NSRs and screened by noise barrier whenever excavation work has to be carried out at their front doors | To lower noise transmission | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | NCO, EIAO-TM |
| 4.7 | N4 | Avoid carrying out noisy activities at the same time. The work front of village sewer installation near NSRs PTO_N1 and PTO_N3 shall not be conducted concurrently with installation of Po Toi O Chuen Road sewer and horizontal directional drilling respectively. | To minimize noise production | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | When the respective workfront next to the NSR is carried out | Construction phase | NCO, EIAO-TM |
| 4.7 | N5 | Vibratory poker shall only be operated 4m away from NSR and with noise barrier properly erected. Surfacing work within 4m from NSR shall be carried out by manual method. | To minimize noise production | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | When the respective workfront next to the NSR is carried out | Construction phase | NCO, EIAO-TM |
| Generic/Standard Measures | | | | | | | | |
| 4.7 | N6 | Schedule noisy activities to minimise exposure of nearby NSRs to high levels of construction noise | To minimize construction noise level | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | NCO, EIAO-TM |
| 4.7 | N7 | Use Quality Powered Mechanical Equipment (QPME) which produces lower noise level | To minimize construction noise level | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | NCO, EIAO-TM |
| 4.7 | N8 | Erect 3m high mobile barriers with skid footing and a small cantilevered upper portion within a few metres of stationary plants and within about 5m of more mobile plant. | To lower noise transmission | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | NCO, EIAO-TM |

Annex A - Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures * | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location of the measure | Duration of the measure | Implementation stages | Relveant Legislation & Guidelines |
|-----------------|----------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| 4.7 | N9 | Hand-held breaker shall be fitted with mufflers. A movable enclosure made up of plywood is proposed to surround both worker and breaker during breaking process. The internal wall of the enclosure should be laid with sound absorbent such as mineral wool. | To lower noise transmission | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | NCO, EIAO-TM |
| 4.7 | N10 | Regular maintenance of plant equipment to prevent noise emission due to impair | To prevent noise emission due to impair | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | NCO, EIAO-TM |
| 4.7 | N11 | Position mobile noisy equipment in location and direction away from NSR | To minimize noise transmission to NSR | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | NCO, EIAO-TM |
| 4.7 | N12 | Use silencer or muffler on plant equipment and should be properly maintained | To minimize noise transmission | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | NCO, EIAO-TM |
| 4.7 | N13 | Throttle down or switch off unused machines or machine in intermittent use between work | To minimize noise production | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | NCO, EIAO-TM |
| 4.7 | N14 | Make good use of stockpiles or other structures for noise screening | To minimize noise transmission | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | NCO, EIAO-TM |
| 4.7 | N15 | Mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible | To minimize noise transmission | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | NCO, EIAO-TM |
| 4.7 | N16 | Reduce the percentage on-time for some noisy PME's | To minimize noise production | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | NCO, EIAO-TM |
| 4.7 | N17 | Carry out noise monitoring | To monitor construction noise level | DSD's Contractor | At representative NSRs | Prior to and throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM |

Annex A - Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures * | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location of the measure | Duration of the measure | Implementation stages | Relveant Legislation & Guidelines |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--|---|----------------------|---------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Water Quality Impact | | | | | | | | |
| Project Specific Measures | | | | | | | | |
| 5.8 | W1 | Divert the water from outfall of W3 (stream near Fairway Vista) during open cut excavation for laying of gravity sewer nearby. | To prevent the excavated materials from falling into the water and being carried into the sea | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W2 | Place sandbag along the upstream section of the stream near Fairway Vista and along rocky shore during open cut excavation for laying of gravity sewers/rising mains nearby. | To prevent the excavated materials from falling into the water and being carried into the sea | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W3 | Intercept the water from u-channel at the foot of the slope where the STP will be built | To prevent water from entering the construction site | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM |
| 6.8 | W4 | Install cofferdam around the proposed excavation area for entry pit of HDD work to prevent falling of debris into the sea | To prevent debris from entering the waterbodies | DSD's Contractor | HDD work site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W5 | Install sheet piles in marine waters by vibratory action. | To minimize dispersion of marine sediment | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W6 | Marine works (dredging, construction and installation works at diffuser location, backfilling) shall be carried out inside the watertight cofferdam. The cofferdam can only be removed after completion of work. | To minimize dispersion of marine sediment | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W7 | Dredging should be carried out by grab dredgers anchored outside the cofferdam. The marine sediment should be placed in sealed compartment of the marine barge. | To minimize dispersion of marine sediment | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W8 | Water removed from the cofferdam should be desilted before discharge back into the sea. | To prevent discharge of silty water into the sea | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W9 | Carry out water quality monitoring at water sensitive receivers before and during cofferdam installation works, throughout dredging works, and during cofferdam extraction works | To identify any water quality impact due to construction works | DSD's Contractor | Water Monitoirng Stations | Before and throughout installation and extraction works of cofferdam | Construction phase | EIAO-TM |

Annex A - Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures * | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location of the measure | Duration of the measure | Implementation stages | Relveant Legislation & Guidelines |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---|--|----------------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 5.8 | W10 | The following summarizes the precautionary measures for minimizing chance of emergency discharge: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provision of dual power by CLP; • Equipped with Supervisory control and data acquisition system (SCADA), which signals to the operation and maintenance personnel for emergency attendance in case of plant failure; • Provision of standby pump and screen at the PTOSTW. • Provision of emergency generator within 4 hours by DSD's future term contractor. • Provision of emergency storage with capacity of 4-hr sewage retention time. • Arrangement of tankers for removing incoming sewage to other sewage treatment plants for treatment. | To prevent emergency discharge | DSD | Sewage Treatment Plant | Operational phase | Operational phase | EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W11 | Carry out water quality monitoring at water sensitive receivers during normal operation | To identify any water quality impact due to the normal operation of the Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) | DSD | At representative WSRs | 6 months before and in 1st year of operation | Operational phase | WPCO, EIAO-TM |
| Generic/Standard Measures | | | | | | | | |
| 5.8 | W12 | Set up sedimentation tank for settling suspended solids in wastewater before discharge into storm drains. Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand traps, silt traps and sedimentation basin should be provided with adequate capacity. | To reduce the amount of suspended solid in wastewater | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W13 | Follow ProPECC PN 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage" as far as practicable | To minimize surface runoff and chance of erosion | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W14 | Construct catchpits and perimeter channels prior to commencement of site formation works and earthworks. | To stop runoff from flowing across the construction site | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W15 | Maintain silt removal facilities, channels, manholes before and after rainstorm. | To prevent failure that may lead to flooding | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM |

Annex A - Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures * | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location of the measure | Duration of the measure | Implementation stages | Relveant Legislation & Guidelines |
|----------|-----------|---|--|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 5.8 | W16 | Remove silt and grit from silt trap at regular interval. | To prevent blockage the may lead to flooding | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W17 | Well design works program to minimize the work areas to minimize the soil exposure and site runoff. | To minimize surface runoff and chance of erosion | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W18 | <p>Arrange soil excavation works outside rainy seasons (April to September) as far as possible. If this cannot be achieved, the following measures should be implemented:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Cover temporary exposed slope surfaces with impermeable materials, e.g. tarpaulin - Protect temporary access roads by crushed stone or gravel - Provide intercepting channels along crest/edge of excavation - Carry out adequate surface protection measures well before the arrival of a rainstorm | To minimize surface runoff and chance of erosion | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W19 | Minimize exposed earth after completion of work in a certain area by hydroseeding, vegetating, soil compacting or covering with bitumen | To prevent soil erosion under rainstorm | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W20 | Prevent rainwater from entering trenches. Excavation of trenches should be dug and backfilled in short sections during rainy seasons. Remove silt in rainwater collected from the trenches or foundation excavations prior to discharge to storm drains. | To prevent soil erosion under rainstorm | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W21 | Cover open stockpiles of construction materials (e.g. aggregates, sand and fill materials) with impermeable materials such as tarpaulin during rainstorms. | To prevent soil erosion under rainstorm | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W22 | Cover and temporary seal manholes to prevent silt, construction materials or debris and surface runoff from entering foul sewers. | To prevent overloading of foul sewers | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W23 | Remove waste from the construction site regularly. | To prevent waste accumulation | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W24 | Apply discharge license for effluent discharge. Treat the discharge to comply with the requirement in TM-DSS. | To ensure compliance with effluent discharge requirement | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | WPCO, TM-DSS, EIAO-TM |

Annex A - Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures * | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location of the measure | Duration of the measure | Implementation stages | Relveant Legislation & Guidelines |
|----------|-----------|--|--|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| 5.8 | W25 | Reuse treated effluent onsite, e.g. dust suppression, wheel washing and general cleaning. | To minimize wastewater generation | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W26 | Monitor effluent water quality. | To ensure compliance with effluent discharge requirement | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | WPCO, EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W27 | Register as chemical waste producer if chemical waste will be generated. | To control chemical waste | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W28 | Perform maintenance of vehicles and equipment that have oil leakage and spillage potential on hard standings within a bunded area with sumps and oil interceptors. | To prevent oil leakage or spillage | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W29 | Dispose chemical waste in accordance to Waste Disposal Ordinance. Follow the <i>Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes</i> , examples as follows: | To avoid accident in waste storage and handling | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM |
| | | - Store chemical wastes with suitable containers to avoid leakage or spillage during storage, handling and transport | | | | | | |
| | | - Label chemical waste containers according to the CoP to notify and warn the waste handlers | | | | | | |
| | | - Store chemical wastes at designated safe location with adequate space | | | | | | |
| 5.8 | W30 | Provide sufficient chemical toilets with regular maintenance by registered waste collector where necessary | To proper collection of task force waste | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W31 | Provide a drip tray/container underneath the bentonite recycling system | To prevent any leaked bentonite from entering the watercourse or sea | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM |
| 5.8 | W32 | Carry out regular site inspection to audit the implementation of mitigation measures | To check the implemenation status and effectiveness of mitigation measures | DSD's Contractor | Water Monitoirng Stations | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM, APCO |
| 5.8 | W33 | Carry out effluent quality monitoring at location specified in the discharge licence | To ensure compliance with effluent discharge requirement | DSD | Effluent outlet | Operational phase | Operational phase | WPCO, EIAO-TM |

Annex A - Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures * | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location of the measure | Duration of the measure | Implementation stages | Relveant Legislation & Guidelines |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--|---|----------------------|--|---|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Terrestrial Ecology | | | | | | | | |
| Project Specific Measures | | | | | | | | |
| 6.12 | E1 | Erect bright colour fencing along the boundary of the undisturbed region of the shrubland and woodland, and around <i>Diospyros vaccinioides</i> , a plant species of conservation importance, near the work boundary to remind workers not to trespass or occupy the area, and to be careful during operation of equipment. | To protect the shrub from being damaged | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM |
| 6.12 | E2 | Reinstate the disturbed rocky shore with the rocks temporarily removed | To restore the rocky shore habitat | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | After completion of works near the rocky shore | Construction phase | EIAO-TM |
| 6.12 | E3 | Place sandbag around the section of W3 next to Fairway Vista and along the shore during open cut excavation for laying of gravity sewer nearby. | To prevent the excavated materials from falling into the water and being carried into the sea | DSD's Contractor | Watercourse W3 | When construction work is carried out in the vicinity of W3 | Construction phase | EIAO-TM |
| 6.12 | E4 | Temporarily divert the water from outfall of W3 away from excavation area. | To prevent the excavated materials from falling into the water and being carried into the sea | DSD's Contractor | Watercourse W3 | When construction work is carried out in the vicinity of W3 | Construction phase | EIAO-TM |
| 6.12 | E5 | Inspect the condition of the <i>Diospyros vaccinioides</i> near the work boundary as part of weekly site audit | To inspect the condition of the <i>Diospyros vaccinioides</i> | DSD's Contractor | The <i>Diospyros vaccinioides</i> near the work boundary | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM |
| Generic/Standard Measures | | | | | | | | |
| 6.12 | E6 | Erection of hoarding, fencing or provision of clear demarcation of work zones | To remind workers not to damage area outside the work boundary | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM |
| 6.12 | E7 | Designate areas for placement of equipment, building materials and wastes away from the natural environment | To prevent damage on the natural environment | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM |

Annex A - Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures * | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location of the measure | Duration of the measure | Implementation stages | Relveant Legislation & Guidelines |
|-----------------|----------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|
| 6.12 | E8 | Carry out tree preservation and compensatory tree planting will be carried out in accordance with DEVB TCW No. 7/2015. | To reinstated woodland habitat | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | After completion of works near woodland | Construction phase | EIAO-TM |

Annex A - Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures * | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location of the measure | Duration of the measure | Implementation stages | Relveant Legislation & Guidelines |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--|--|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Waste Management | | | | | | | | |
| Project Specific Measures | | | | | | | | |
| 9.8 | WM1 | Sludge will be delivered by sealed sludge tanker for treatment at Sludge Treatment Facilities. | To prevent odour nuisance | DSD | STP | Throughout operational phase | Operational phase | Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, EIAO-TM |
| 9.8 | WM2 | Debris from screening process and general refuse should be stored within the STP in sealed container and be disposed of at landfill regularly. | To prevent odour nuisance | DSD | STP | Throughout operational phase | Operational phase | Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, EIAO-TM |
| 9.8 | WM3 | Worn filters and MBR membrane shall be stored and labelled as in construction phase. Chemical wastes shall be treated at chemical treatment facility by licensed contractor. | To prevent odour nuisance | DSD | STP | Throughout operational phase | Operational phase | Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM |
| Generic/Standard Measures | | | | | | | | |
| 9.8 | WM4 | Allocate an area for waste sorting and storage of C&D materials into the following categories for reuse, recycle or disposal if possible. Remove waste from the construction site for sorting once generated if no suitable space can be identified. - excavated materials suitable for reuse - inert C&D materials (or public fill) for disposal offsite - non-inert C&D materials (or C&D waste) for disposal at landfills - chemical waste - bentonite slurry for reconditioning and reuse - general refuse | To minimize waste generation | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM |

Annex A - Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures * | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location of the measure | Duration of the measure | Implementation stages | Relveant Legislation & Guidelines |
|----------|-----------|---|--|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 9.8 | WM5 | Adopt good site practice as follows: | To proper handling of waste | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM |
| | | - Provide training to workers on site cleanliness, waste management (waste reduction, reuse and recycle) and chemical handling procedures | | | | | | |
| | | - Provide sufficient waste collection points and regular removal | | | | | | |
| | | - Cover waste materials with tarpaulin or in enclosure during transportation | | | | | | |
| | | - Maintain drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors | | | | | | |
| | | - Sort out chemical waste for proper handling and treatment onsite or offsite | | | | | | |
| 9.8 | WM6 | Adopt waste reduction measures as follows: | To minimize waste generation | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM |
| | | - Allocate area/containers for sorting, recovering and storing waste for reuse, recycle or disposal (e.g. demolition debris and excavated materials, general refuse like aluminium cans.) | | | | | | |
| | | Remove waste from the construction site for sorting once generated if no suitable space can be identified. | | | | | | |
| | | - Allocate area for proper storage of construction materials to prevent contamination | | | | | | |
| | | - Minimize wastage through careful planning and avoiding over-purchase of construction materials | | | | | | |
| 9.8 | WM7 | Prepare and implement a site specific Waste Management Plan (WMP) as part of Environmental Management Plan (EMP) in accordance with ETWB TCW No. 19/2005. Detail waste management method in the form of avoidance, reuse, recovery, recycling, storage, collection, treatment and disposal according to the recommendations on the EIA and EM&A Manual. It should be approved by the ER and regularly reviewed. | To provide guidance to waste management | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | ETWB TCW No. 19/2005, EIAO-TM |

Annex A - Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures * | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location of the measure | Duration of the measure | Implementation stages | Relevant Legislation & Guidelines |
|----------|-----------|---|--|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 9.8 | WM8 | Store waste materials properly as follows: | To properly store waste | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM |
| | | - Avoid contamination by proper handling and storing waste | | | | | | |
| | | - Prevent erosion by covering waste | | | | | | |
| | | - Apply water spray on excavated materials | | | | | | |
| | | - Maintain and clean storage area regularly | | | | | | |
| | | - Sort and stockpile different materials at designated location to enhance reuse | | | | | | |
| 9.8 | WM9 | Apply for relevant waste disposal permits in accordance with the Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354), Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation (Cap. 345) and the Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance (Cap. 28), Dumping at Sea Ordinance (Cap. 466). | To properly dispose waste | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354), Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation (Cap. 345) and the Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance (Cap. 28), Dumping at Sea Ordinance (Cap. 466), EIAO-TM |
| 9.8 | WM10 | Hire licensed waste disposal contractors for waste collection and removal. Dispose waste at licensed waste disposal facilities | To properly dispose waste | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM |
| 9.8 | WM11 | Implement trip-ticket system for recording the amount of waste generated, recycled and disposed, including chemical wastes | To monitor movement of waste | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM |
| 9.8 | WM12 | Provide wheel washing at construction site exit to clean the vehicle body and wheel | To prevent dust from being brought offsite | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | ProPECC PN 1/94, EIAO-TM |
| 9.8 | WM13 | Reduce water content in wet spoil generated from piling work by mixing with dry materials. Only dispose treated spoil with less than 25% dry density to Public Fill Reception Facilities | To minimize load to reception facilities | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM |

Annex A - Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures * | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location of the measure | Duration of the measure | Implementation stages | Relveant Legislation & Guidelines |
|----------|-----------|---|--|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| 9.8 | WM14 | Dispose dry waste or waste with less than 70% water content by weight to landfill | To minimize load to reception facilities | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM |
| 9.8 | WM15 | Follow the <i>Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Waste</i> as follows: | To avoid accident in waste storage and handling | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM |
| | | - Store chemical wastes with suitable containers. Seal and maintain the container to avoid leakage or spillage during storage, handling and transport | | | | | | |
| | | - Label chemical waste containers in both English and Chinese with instructions in accordance to Schedule 2 of the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation | | | | | | |
| | | - The container capacity should be smaller than 450 litres unless agreed by the EPD | | | | | | |
| 9.8 | WM16 | Comply with the requirement of the chemical storage area: | To ensure proper storage of chemical waste | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM |
| | | - Store only chemical waste and label clearly the chemical characters of the waste | | | | | | |
| | | - Have at least 3 sides enclosed and protected from rainfall with cover | | | | | | |
| | | - Provide sufficient ventilation | | | | | | |
| | | - Have impermeable floor and has bunds to contain 110% of the capacity of the largest container or 20% of the total volume of the stored waste in the area, whichever is larger | | | | | | |
| | | - Adequately spaced incompatible materials | | | | | | |
| 9.8 | WM17 | Transfer used lubricants, waste oils and other chemicals to oil recycling companies, if possible, and empty oil drums for reuse or refill. No direct or indirect discharge is permitted | To ensure proper disposal of chemical waste | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, EIAO-TM |
| 9.8 | WM18 | Hire licensed chemical waste disposal contractors for waste collection and removal. Dispose chemical waste at the approved Chemical Waste Treatment Centre at Tsing Yi or other licensed facility | To ensure proper disposal of chemical waste | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, EIAO-TM |
| 9.8 | WM19 | Hire reputable waste collector to separately collect and dispose general refuse from other wastes. Cover the waste to prevent being blown away | To ensure proper disposal of general refuse | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, EIAO-TM |

Annex A - Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures * | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location of the measure | Duration of the measure | Implementation stages | Relveant Legislation & Guidelines |
|-----------------|----------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 9.8 | WM20 | Provide recycling bins for sorting out recyclables for collection by recycling companies. Non-recyclables should be removed to designated landfills every day by licensed collectors to prevent environmental and health nuisance. | To ensure proper recycling and disposal of general refuse | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | Waste Disposal Ordinance, EIAO-TM |
| 9.8 | WM21 | Organize training and reminders to site staff on waste minimization through avoidance and reduction, reusing and recycling | To ensure proper management of general refuse | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM |
| 9.8 | WM22 | Used bentonite shall be reconditioned onsite and reused as far as practical to minimize wastage. If this is deemed not viable, the used bentonite shall be delivered offsite for reconditioning. | To minimize wastage of bentonite | DSD's Contractor | Whole construction site | Throughout construction phase | Construction phase | EIAO-TM |
| 9.8 | WM23 | Characterize the sediment quality of the marine sediment to be dredged and submit a Sediment Quality Report for EPD's approval. Dispose the dredged marine sediment in accordance with ETWB TC(W) No. 34/2002 | To verify the categories of sediment to be disposed in accordance with ETWB TC(W) No. 34/2002 | DSD's Contractor | To be allocated by CEDD | Before dredging works | Construction phase | ETWB TC(W) No. 34/2002 |

Annex A - Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures * | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location of the measure | Duration of the measure | Implementation stages | Relveant Legislation & Guidelines |
|---------------------------|-----------|--|--|----------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Landscape & Visual | | | | | | | | |
| Project Specific Measures | | | | | | | | |
| Table 10-6 | CM8 | Protective materials to be provided to natural rocky coastline to prevent damage to existing landform from plant and machinery during temporary drilling operations. Reinstatement following removal of plant & equipment to original or improved condition shall be undertaken. | To protect landscape resources | DSD's contractor | Temporary drilling site for submarine outfall | Construction planning and during construction period | Construction phase | Particular Specification |
| Table 10-7 | OM1 | Sensitive design of sewage treatment plant in terms of scale, height and bulk (visual weight) to integrate the building into the existing topography. | To mitigate visual impact | DSD's Design Architect/ Engineer | STP | Design Phase | Design Phase | Detailed Design Drawings and Specifications |
| Table 10-7 | OM2 | Use of appropriate building materials and colours for Sewage Treatment Plant to complement surroundings | To mitigate visual impacts | DSD's Design Architect/ Engineer | STP | Design Phase | Design, Construction and Operational Phases | Detailed Design Drawings and Specifications |
| | | | | DSD's contractor | | Construction Phase & first year in Operational Phase | | |
| | | | | Building Operator/DSD | | Operational phase | | |
| Generic/Standard Measures | | | | | | | | |
| Table 10-6 | CM1 | The construction area and contractor’s temporary works areas should be minimised to avoid impacts on adjacent landscape. All slope excavation shall take place from within the work boundary to minimise impacts on adjacent slopes. | To avoid impact on adjacent landscape areas | DSD's contractor | STP, along gravity sewers and rising mains construction route and at temporary drilling site for submarine outfall | Construction planning and during construction period | Construction phase | Detailed Design drawings and particular specifications |
| Table 10-6 | CM2 | Reduction of construction period to practical minimum | To minimise duration of impact | DSD's contractor | N/A | Construction planning and during construction period | Construction phase | N/A |

Annex A - Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures * | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location of the measure | Duration of the measure | Implementation stages | Relevant Legislation & Guidelines |
|-----------------|----------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|--|
| Table 10-6 | CM3 | Construction traffic (land and sea) including construction plant, construction vessels and barges to be kept to a practical minimum. | To minimize visual impacts to local residents and surrounding VSRs | DSD's contractor | STP, along gravity sewers and rising mains construction route at temporary drilling and dredging sites for submarine outfall | Construction planning and during construction period | Construction phase | As per the Particular Specification |
| Table 10-6 | CM4 | Erection of decorative mesh screens or construction hoardings and/or temporary noise barriers around works areas in visually unobtrusive colours. | To screen construction works from local residents and surrounding VSRs | DSD's contractor | STP, along gravity sewers and rising mains construction route and at temporary drilling site for submarine outfall | Construction planning and during construction period | Construction phase | As per the Particular Specification |
| Table 10-6 | CM5 | Avoidance of excessive height and bulk of site buildings and structures. | To reduce visual impact | DSD's contractor | STP, and at temporary drilling site for submarine outfall | Construction planning and during construction period | Construction phase | As per the Particular Specification |
| Table 10-6 | CM6 | Control of night-time lighting by hooding all lights and through minimisation of night working periods. | To maximize screening of the works | DSD's contractor | STP and at temporary drilling and dredging site for submarine outfall | Construction planning and during construction period | Construction phase | As per the Particular Specification |

Annex A - Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures * | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location of the measure | Duration of the measure | Implementation stages | Relevant Legislation & Guidelines |
|------------|-----------|---|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Table 10-6 | CM7 | All existing trees shall be carefully protected during construction. A Detailed Tree Protection Specification shall be provided in the Contract Specification. Under this specification, the Contractor shall be required to submit, for approval, a detailed working method statement for the protection of trees prior to undertaking any works adjacent to all retained trees, including trees in contractor's works areas. Tree risk assessment shall be undertaken to all existing trees within the project site as per "Guidelines for Tree Risk Assessment and Management Arrangement" | To maximize protection of existing trees | DSD's contractor | STP and all other construction areas | Construction planning and during construction period | Construction phase | As per Tree Protection Particular Specification, DEVB TC (W) No.10/2013 and Guidelines for Tree Risk Assessment and Management Arrangement |
| Table 10-7 | OM3 | Lighting units to be directional and minimise unnecessary light spill and glare. | To mitigate visual impacts | DSD's Design Architect/ Engineer | STP | Design Phase | Design, Construction and Operational Phases | Detailed Design Drawings and Specifications |
| | | | | DSD's contractor | | Construction Phase & first year in Operational Phase | | |
| | | | | Building Operator/DSD | | Operational phase | | |
| Table 10-7 | OM4 | Greening measures to reinstate the landscape which are appropriate to the context, including tree and shrub planting and vertical greening, shall be implemented. | To mitigate visual impacts | DSD's Design Landscape Architect | STP | Design Phase | Design, Construction and Operational Phases | Detailed Design Drawings and Specifications |
| | | | | DSD's contractor | | Construction Phase & first year in Operational Phase | | |
| | | | | Building Operator/DSD | | Operational phase | | |

Annex A - Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures * | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location of the measure | Duration of the measure | Implementation stages | Relveant Legislation & Guidelines |
|------------|-----------|---|--|----------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Table 10-7 | OM5 | Compensatory tree planting for all felled trees shall be provided to the satisfaction of relevant Government departments. Required numbers and locations of compensatory trees shall be determined and agreed separately with Government during the Tree Felling Application process under the relevant technical circulars. Tree risk assessment shall be undertaken to all existing trees within the project site as per “Guidelines for Tree Risk Assessment and Management Arrangement” | To mitigate landscape and visual impacts of tree loss | DSD's Landscape Architect | STP and at temporary drilling site for submarine outfall | Design Phase | Design, Construction and Operational Phases | As per approved Tree Removal Application, Detailed Design Drawings, Tree Protection Particular Specification and Guidelines for Tree Risk Assessment and Management Arrangement |
| | | | | Contractor's Landscape Architect | | Construction Phase & first year in Operational Phase | | |
| | | | | Building Operator/DSD | | Operational phase | | |

Annex A - Implementation Schedule of Recommended Mitigation Measures

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures * | Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address | Implementation Agent | Location of the measure | Duration of the measure | Implementation stages | Relveant Legislation & Guidelines |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--|---|----------------------|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Built Heritage | | | | | | | | |
| Project Specific Measures | | | | | | | | |
| 11.6 | BH1 | Undertake condition survey by professional qualified building surveyor or engineer to record the existing condition of the built heritage resources. | To record the condition of the built heritage resources before the commencement of construction works | DSD's Contractor | GB01, BH02, LF04 | Before commencement of construction works | Construction phase | EIAO-TM and Guidelines for CHIA |
| 11.6 | BH2 | Carry out vibration and settlement monitoring to built heritage resources. A maximum vibration level 7.5mm/s shall be adopted for the Grade 3 Hung Shing Temple and settlement check points in the Alert/Alarm/Action limit levels at 6mm/8mm/10mm shall be adopted. | To minimise the potential impact by mechanical vibration and settlement of built heritage resources | DSD's Contractor | GB01, BH02, LF04 | During construction works | Construction phase | EIAO-TM and Guidelines for CHIA |
| 11.6 | BH3 | Provision of protective covering or protective screen to built heritage resources which are close to the works area | To prevent direct impact from the machine and damages by construction tools or waste | DSD's Contractor | GB01, BH02, LF01, LF04 | During construction works | Construction phase | EIAO-TM and Guidelines for CHIA |
| 11.6 | BH4 | Maintain public access to the cultural landscape features as far as possible | To avoid the proposed works affecting the worshippers | DSD's Contractor | LF01, LF04, LF05 | During construction works | Construction phase | EIAO-TM and Guidelines for CHIA |
| 11.6 | BH5 | Provision of buffer zone of at least 1m from the proposed works as far as possible | To prevent any direct and indirect impact | DSD's Contractor | BH02, LF01, LF04 | During construction works | Construction phase | EIAO-TM and Guidelines for CHIA |

* All recommendations and requirements resulted during the course of EIA Process, including ACE and/or accepted public comment to the proposed project

Appendix 6-4

Recommended Mitigation Measures and Proactive Environmental Protection Proforma

Appendix 6-4 Recommended Mitigation Measures and Proactive Environmental Protection Proforma

Reporting Period: 2021-08-01 – 2021-08-31

Construction Works Area: PTO-SW-03 & STP

Anticipated Impacts: Dust, Noise, Water Quality, Terrestrial Ecology, Marine Ecology, Fisheries, Waste Management, Landscape and Visual and Build Heritage Impact.

| Item | EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Environmental Aspect | Corresponding Mitigation Measures | EM&A Manual Recommended Mitigation/ Actions | Action By | Measurement Procedures/Methods |
|--------------------|----------|-----------|--|--|---|------------|---|
| Air Quality Impact | 3.8 | A10 - A25 | a) Major air quality impact in construction phase would arise from excavation of slope at the proposed sewage treatment plant. b) Excavation, Gas welding, slope cutting, Rock dowel, fencing, flexible barrier installation Loading & Unloading Dusty Materials storage, Dusty Waste Sorting, Temporary Site Traffic Control | a) All construction plants / machineries will be checked / serviced on a regular basis during the courses of construction to minimize the emission of noise generation and eliminate dark smoke emission b) All dump trucks will be equipped with mechanical covers to prevent the dust emission during transportation when necessary. c) Dust control measures, such as water spraying, will be provided during | (a) Hoarding of not less than 2.4 m high shall be erected from ground level to surround the work area along Po Toi O Chuen Road except for a site entrance or exit. (b) Good housekeeping to minimize dust generation, e.g. by properly handling and storing dusty materials. (c) Adopt dust control measures, such as dust suppression using water spray on exposed soil at least 4 times a day, in areas with dusty construction activities and during material handling. (d) Minimize exposed earth after completion of work in a | Contractor | a) 1-hour and 24-hour TSP levels will be measured in accordance to the standard high-volume sampling method as set out in the Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50), Appendix A. b) Due to objection from the residents of Po Toi O village of the use of high-volume sampler (HVS) in conducting 24-hours TSP measurement, 24-hour TSP measures for impact monitoring is to be measured by portable dust meters during construction phase of the project. This is to be approved and verified by ER and IEC. |

| Item | EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Environmental Aspect | Corresponding Mitigation Measures | EM&A Manual Recommended Mitigation/ Actions | Action By | Measurement Procedures/Methods |
|------|----------|-----------|----------------------|--|--|-----------|--|
| | | | | <p>demolition works when necessary.</p> <p>d) Maintaining of wet surface on access road and keep slow speed in the site.</p> <p>e) Conditions in the Environmental Permit and Discharge License should be followed</p> <p>f) Predict required quantity of concrete accurately and collect the unused fresh concrete at designated locations in the site for subsequent disposal.</p> <p>g) Provide sufficient mitigation measures as recommended in approved EIA Manual requirement.</p> | <p>certain area by hydroseeding, vegetating, soil compacting or covering with bitumen.</p> <p>(e) Provide wheel washing at site exit to prevent carrying dust outside of the site.</p> <p>(f) Cover materials on trucks before leaving the site.</p> <p>(g) Limit vehicle speed of construction trucks within the construction site and in Po Toi O, maximum at 10km/hr, and confine vehicle movement in haul road.</p> <p>(h) As there is limited space in Po Toi O, stockpiling should be avoided. However, if found necessary, the materials should be covered by impervious materials such as tarpaulin.</p> | | <p>c) Other than using high volume sampler, 1-hour TSP levels can be measured alternatively by direct reading from portable dust meters upon approval from ER. The meters should be capable of producing comparable results as that by the high-volume sampling method, to indicate short event impacts.</p> <p>d) -The ET shall agree with the IEC on the monitoring position and the corrections adopted.</p> <p>e) -The agreed position shall be chosen in subsequent baseline and impact monitoring.</p> |

| Item | EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Environmental Aspect | Corresponding Mitigation Measures | EM&A Manual Recommended Mitigation/ Actions | Action By | Measurement Procedures/Methods |
|----------------------|----------|-----------|--|--|---|------------|---|
| Noise Impact Control | 4.7 | N1 - N17 | a) The Project comprises three main works including the construction of sewage treatment plant (STP), underground sewers and rising main, and the submarine outfall b) The major noise impact will arise from the use of powered mechanical equipment. c) Excavation, Gas welding, slope cutting, Rock dowel, fencing, flexible barrier installation Loading & Unloading Dusty Materials storage, Temporary Site Traffic Control | a) Conditions in the Environmental Permit and Discharge License should be followed b) Provide sufficient mitigation measures as recommended in approved EIA Manual requirement. | | Contractor | a) Noise measurement shall normally be at a point 1 m from the exterior of the sensitive receiver building façade and be at a position 1.2 m above the ground. If the normal monitoring position cannot be accessed, an alternative position may be chosen, and a correction to the measurements shall be made. For reference, a correction of +3 dB(A) shall be made to the free field measurements. b) The ET shall agree with the IEC on the monitoring position and the corrections adopted. c) The agreed position shall be chosen in subsequent baseline and impact monitoring. |

| Item | EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Environmental Aspect | Corresponding Mitigation Measures | EM&A Manual Recommended Mitigation/ Actions | Action By | Measurement Procedures/Methods |
|----------------------|----------|-----------|--|---|---|------------|--|
| Water Quality impact | 5.8 | W1 - W33 | a) Major Water quality impact will be originated from minor displacement of suspended solids during installation, testing pipe and extraction of cofferdam around the proposed diffuser. | a) Wastewater to be treated by wastewater treatment facilities before discharge b) Conditions in the Environmental Permit and Discharge License should be followed | (a) Well manage construction materials, chemicals, sewage for proper storage and usage and to prevent accumulation onsite. (b) Immediately clean up contaminated soil upon chemical and oil leakage. (c) Label chemical waste containers according to the Code of Practice to notify and warn the waste handlers. Store fuels, chemicals and chemical waste at designated area with locks and bunds. (d) Register as chemical waste producer. (e) Set up sedimentation tank for settling suspended solids in wastewater before discharge into storm | Contractor | a) Weekly site audit to monitor the implementation of the proposed water quality mitigation measures and check the Contractor's work practice on water pollution prevention during construction phase. b) Should water pollution is observed (e.g. discharge of silty water into storm drains), the ET should record the environmental deficiency for investigation. c) The Contractor should be notified and responsible for carrying out rectification work immediately. d) The ET shall re-inspect the Project Site and review the effectiveness of the remedial measure performed until satisfaction. e) The Contractor shall implement preventive |

| Item | EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Environmental Aspect | Corresponding Mitigation Measures | EM&A Manual Recommended Mitigation/ Actions | Action By | Measurement Procedures/Methods |
|------|----------|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-----------|--|
| | | | | | <p>drains. Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand traps, silt traps and sedimentation basin should be provided with adequate capacity.</p> <p>(f) Provide sufficient number of chemical toilets if necessary and employ licensed contractor for regular clean-up and maintenance.</p> <p>(g) Provide wheel washing at site exit to prevent dust and silty water from leaving the construction site.</p> <p>(h) Cover slope and loose materials with tarpaulin before rainstorm and inspect the area afterwards.</p> <p>(i) Cover manhole to prevent silt, construction materials or debris and surface runoff from entering the foul sewer.</p> | | measure to avoid causing the same problem. |

| Item | EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Environmental Aspect | Corresponding Mitigation Measures | EM&A Manual Recommended Mitigation/ Actions | Action By | Measurement Procedures/Methods |
|------|----------|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-----------|--------------------------------|
| | | | | | (j) Install fully enclosed cofferdam around the proposed diffuser and deploy a dredger barge outside the cofferdam for dredging and filling works. | | |

| Item | EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Environmental Aspect | Corresponding Mitigation Measures | EM&A Manual Recommended Mitigation/ Actions | Action By | Measurement Procedures/Methods |
|---------------------|----------|-----------|--|---|---|------------|--|
| Terrestrial Ecology | 6.12 | E1-E8 | <p>a) The proposed Project will cause minor habitat loss of shrubland, temporary habitat loss of woodland, developed area and rocky shore, and removal of one individual climber species of conservation importance that is common within the Study Area and Hong Kong. Indirect water quality impact may arise from surface runoff or accidental spillage of chemicals in construction phase.</p> <p>b) Use of powered plant equipment may bring noise disturbance on wildlife.</p> | <p>a) Conditions in the Environmental Permit and Discharge License should be followed</p> <p>b) Provide sufficient mitigation measures as recommended in approved EIA Manual requirement.</p> | <p>a) Construction noise and water quality mitigation measures proposed in the previous sections will be applicable to terrestrial ecology.</p> | Contractor | <p>(a) Bright colour fencing shall be erected along the boundary of the undisturbed region of the shrubland and woodland, and around <i>Diospyros vaccinioides</i>, a plant species of conservation importance, near the work boundary to remind workers not to trespass or occupy the area, and to be careful during operation of equipment.</p> <p>(b) Inspect the condition of <i>Diospyros vaccinioides</i> as part of weekly site audit.</p> <p>(c) Reinstatement of the disturbed rocky shore with the rocks temporarily removed.</p> <p>(d) Carry out compensatory tree planting in accordance with DEVB TCW No. 7/2015 to reinstate the affected woodland.</p> |

| Item | EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Environmental Aspect | Corresponding Mitigation Measures | EM&A Manual Recommended Mitigation/ Actions | Action By | Measurement Procedures/Methods |
|----------------|----------|-----------|--|--|--|------------|---|
| Marine Ecology | 7 | 7 | <p>a) The proposed Project will cause minor habitat loss of muddy seabed.</p> <p>b) Indirect water quality impact may arise from installation and extraction of sheet pile of cofferdam in construction phase.</p> <p>c) Dredging and backfilling for installation of diffuser will be conducted inside fully enclosed cofferdam. No marine sediment loss to water column is expected.</p> | a) Conditions in the Environmental Permit and Discharge License should be followed | a) The variation in water quality at coral and amphioxus habitats during cofferdam installation and extraction works will be overseen by water quality monitoring mentioned. | Contractor | a) No specific monitoring and audit programme is required. With proper implementation of water quality mitigation measures, residual impact is expected to be acceptable. |

| Item | EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Environmental Aspect | Corresponding Mitigation Measures | EM&A Manual Recommended Mitigation/ Actions | Action By | Measurement Procedures/Methods |
|-----------|----------|-----------|---|--|---|------------|---|
| Fisheries | 8 | 8 | <p>a) No direct encroachment on Fish Culture Zone and Artificial Reefs in the Study Area is expected.</p> <p>b) About 1,920 m² of fishing ground and 500 m² of benthic spawning ground will be affected. Except the 5 m² benthic spawning ground will be lost permanently, other impacted area will only be affected in construction phase temporarily (reversible impact). Indirect impact on fisheries resources by the water quality deterioration will be insignificant with proper implementation of water quality mitigation measures.</p> | a) Conditions in the Environmental Permit and Discharge License should be followed | Water quality at FCZ will be monitored during cofferdam installation and extraction works and dredging works in the construction phase as proposed. | Contractor | a) No specific monitoring and audit programme are required. With proper implementation of water quality mitigation measures, residual impact is anticipated to be acceptable. |

| Item | EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Environmental Aspect | Corresponding Mitigation Measures | EM&A Manual Recommended Mitigation/ Actions | Action By | Measurement Procedures/Methods |
|------------------|----------|-----------|--|--|--|------------|--|
| Waste Management | 9.8 | WM4-WM23 | <p>a) Construction of the sewage treatment plant, laying of gravity sewers and rising mains and submarine outfall are expected to generate mainly inert construction and demolition (C&D) materials (or public fill) from excavation, and unused building materials. Other wastes include non-inert C&D materials (or C&D waste), plant materials, scaffolding, formwork and packaging, chemical waste from plant maintenance, bentonite slurry from drilling works and general refuse from workers.</p> <p>b) Dredging at the proposed diffuser location will generate marine sediment.</p> | <p>a) All C&D materials generated will be transported and stored at temporary storage area. Cover will be provided during transportation of dusty materials. Suitable materials will be sorted for reuse on-site. Only non-inert C&D material will be disposed off-site to NENT Landfill.</p> <p>b) Conditions in the Environmental Permit and Discharge</p> | <p>(a) Reuse C&D materials onsite and dispose excess uncontaminated ones to public fill.</p> <p>(b) Provide sufficient waste collection points for general refuse and regularly maintained to avoid accumulation. Dispose the waste at waste transfer or disposal facilities.</p> <p>(c) Minimize wastage through careful planning and avoiding over-purchase of construction materials.</p> <p>(d) Provide training to workers on site cleanliness, waste management (waste reduction, reuse and recycle) and chemical handling procedures.</p> <p>(e) Hire licensed waste disposal contractors for</p> | Contractor | <p>The Contractor should apply for relevant licences/permits for waste disposal under different regulations and ordinances as follows:</p> <p>(a) Chemical Waste Permits/licenses under the Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap 354);</p> <p>(b) Public Dumping Licence under the Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance (Cap 28);</p> <p>(c) Marine Dumping Permit under Dumping at Sea Ordinance (Cap 466); and</p> <p>(d) Effluent Discharge Licence under the Water Pollution Control Ordinance (Cap 358).</p> <p>b) Reference should be made to EPD's booklets on licences/permits. The Contractor shall also document recycling receipts/ disposal record to keep track of waste movement. The ET shall check with the Contractor</p> |

| Item | EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Environmental Aspect | Corresponding Mitigation Measures | EM&A Manual Recommended Mitigation/ Actions | Action By | Measurement Procedures/Methods |
|------|----------|-----------|----------------------|---|--|-----------|---|
| | | | | <p>License should be followed</p> <p>c) Fueling of equipment will be conducted carefully on-site by mobile tanker to avoid storage of fuel and oil spillage.</p> <p>d) Provision of drip trays for equipment likely cause spillage of chemical / fuel, and provide routine maintenance.</p> | <p>waste collection and removal. Dispose waste at licensed waste disposal facilities.</p> <p>(f) Recondition and reuse bentonite as far as practical.</p> <p>(g) Conduct marine sediment test and dump dredged marine sediment according to <i>ETWB TCW No. 34/2002 Management of Dredged/Excavated Sediment</i> and Dumping at Sea Ordinance.</p> <p>(h) Chemical waste shall be handled, stored and disposed properly, according to the relevant guidelines.</p> | | that these licences/permits have been obtained. He should also review the above documentations regularly to ensure compliance with legislations and specifications. |

| Item | EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Environmental Aspect | Corresponding Mitigation Measures | EM&A Manual Recommended Mitigation/ Actions | Action By | Measurement Procedures/Methods |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|---|---|------------|---|
| Landscape and Visual impact | Table 10-6 & 10-7 | CM1-CM8 & OM1-OM5 | a) Minor landscape and visual impact is expected due to dredging work in open sea, construction of the STP and pipelines on land and the loss of existing trees and vegetation at the sewage treatment plant site in the construction phase. | a) Conditions in the Environmental Permit and Discharge License should be followed b) Implement the recommended mitigation proposed in EM&A manual | a) The contractor shall employ a professionally qualified Registered Landscape Architect (RLA) on the Environmental Team to supervise and monitor the implementation of construction phase landscape and visual mitigation measures. This is necessary to ensure that all the recommended landscape and visual mitigation measures under Chapter 10 of the EIA are effectively implemented including minimization of the works footprint, ensuring that those existing trees earmarked for retention on site or transplanting are protected and planting works are correctly implemented. | Contractor | a) Tree risk assessment shall be undertaken by the contractor during construction to all existing trees within the project site as per "Guidelines for Tree Risk Assessment and Management Arrangement". b) Site inspections by appointed RLA shall be undertaken at monthly intervals to closely monitor all these aspects of work. Inspection findings shall be logged in a site monitoring report with any discrepancies or concerns regarding the implementation and effectiveness of mitigation measures highlighted. |

| Item | EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Environmental Aspect | Corresponding Mitigation Measures | EM&A Manual Recommended Mitigation/ Actions | Action By | Measurement Procedures/Methods |
|----------------|----------|-----------|--|--|---|------------|---|
| Build Heritage | 11.6 | BH1 - BH5 | a) As the proposed work is close to some of the identified built heritage resources, condition survey, vibration and settlement monitoring are recommended to identified built heritage to prevent indirect damage by mechanical vibration and settlement. | a) Conditions in the Environmental Permit and Discharge License should be followed b) Provide sufficient mitigation measures as recommended in approved EIA Manual requirement. | a) Provision of protective covering or protective screen is recommended to identified built heritage to prevent damages by construction tools or waste. b) Maintenance of public access is suggested for identified built heritage. Besides, buffer zone of at least 1m from the works boundary should be provided for identified built heritage as far as possible. c) Condition survey, vibration and settlement monitoring to identified built heritage. | Contractor | a) A maximum vibration level of 7.5mm/s shall be adopted for the Grade 3 Hung Shing Temple and settlement check points in the Alert/Alarm/Action limit levels at 6mm/8mm/10mm shall be adopted. |

Appendix 6-5

Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons

Appendix 6-5 Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons, Successful Prosecutions and Public Engagement Activities

Environmental Complaints Log

| Complaint Log No. | Date of Complaint | Received From | Received By | Nature of Environmental Complaint | Relevant to the Construction Work of Project Site? (Y/N) | Investigation/ Mitigation Action | Status |
|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--------|
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Remark:

** No Complaints, Notifications of Summons or Successful Prosecutions was received in the reporting period.*

Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions and Public Engagement Activities

| Reporting Period | Complaints | Notifications of Summons and Prosecutions | Public Engagement Activities |
|-----------------------------------|------------|---|------------------------------|
| This Month | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Project-to-Date | 0 | 0 | 0 |